

## **Conférence de presse Trump du 12/01/2017:**

Thank you very much, this is very familiar territory — news conferences — because we used to give them on an almost daily basis. I think we probably maybe won the nomination because of news conferences. And it's good to be with you.

We stopped giving them because we were getting quite a bit of inaccurate news. But I do have to say that -- and I must say that - I want to thank a lot of the news organizations here today because they looked at that nonsense that was released by maybe the intelligence agencies -- who knows but maybe the intelligence agencies, which would be at tremendous blot on their record if they in fact did that, a tremendous blot. Because a thing like that should have never been written -- it should never have been had, it should certainly have never been released. But I want to thank a lot of the news organizations for -- some of whom have not treated me very well over the years.

A couple in particular -- and they came out so strongly against that fake news and the fact that it was written about by primarily one group and one television station. So I just want to complement many of the people in the room, I have great respect for the news and great respect for freedom of the press and all of that.

But I will tell you, there were some news organizations with all that was just said that were so professional, so incredibly professional. That -- I've just gone up a notch as to what I think of you. OK? All right.

We've had some great news over the last couple of weeks. I've been quite active, I guess you could say, in an economic way for the country -- a lot of car companies are going to be moving in. We have other companies. Big news is going to be announced over the next couple of weeks about companies are going to be building in the Midwest. You saw yesterday Fiat Chrysler -- big, big factory going to built in this country as opposed to another country.

Ford just announced that they stopped plans for a billion-dollar plant in Mexico. And they're going to moving into Michigan and expanding very substantially an existing plant. I appreciate that from Ford. I appreciate it very much from Fiat Chrysler. I hope that General Motors will be following. And I think they will be. I think a lot of people will be following.

I think a lot of industries are going to be coming back. We have to get our drug industry coming back. Our drug industry has been disastrous. They're leaving left and right. They supply our drugs, but they don't make them here. To a large extent. And the other thing we have to do is create new bidding procedures for the drug industry because they're getting away with murder.

Pharma, pharma has a lot of lobbies, a lot of lobbyists and a lot of power. And there's very little bidding on drugs. We're the largest buyer of drugs in the world, and yet we don't bid properly. And were going to start bidding and were going to save billions of dollars over a period of time.

And we're going to do that with a lot of other industries. I'm very much involved with the generals and admirals on the airplane, the F-35 you've been reading about it. And it's way, way behind schedule and many billions of dollars over budget. I don't like that.

And the admirals have been fantastic. The generals have been fantastic. I've really gotten to know them well. And we're going to do some big things on the F-35 program and perhaps the F-18 program. And we're going to get those costs way down, and we're gonna get the plane to be even better and we're going to have to some competition. And it's going to be a beautiful thing.

So we been very, very much involved -- and other things. We had Jack Ma, we had so many incredible people coming here -- they're going to do tremendous things, tremendous things in this country. And they're very excited. And I will say if the election didn't turn out the way it turned out, they would not be here. They would not be in my office. They would not be in anybody else's office. They'd be building and doing things in other countries.

So there's a great spirit going on right now, a spirit that many people have told me they've never seen before -- ever. We're going to create jobs. I said that I will be the greatest jobs producer that God ever created, and I mean that. I really -- I'm going to work very hard on that. We need to have certain amounts of other things, including a little bit of luck. But I think we're going to do a real job. And I'm very proud of what we've done, and we haven't even gotten there yet.

I look very much forward to the inauguration. It's going to be a beautiful event. We have great talent, tremendous talent. And we have all of the bands -- or most of the bands from the different segments of the military. And I've heard some of these bands over the years -- they're incredible. We're going to have a very, very elegant day. The 20th is going to be something that will be very, very special, very beautiful. And I think we're going to have massive crowds because we have a movement. It's a movement like the world has never seen before. It's a movement that a lot of people didn't expect. And even the polls, although some of them did get it right, but many of them didn't. And that was a beautiful scene on November 8th as those states started to pour in. And we focused very hard on those states, and they really reciprocated. And those states are going to have a lot of jobs, and they're going to have a lot of security. They are going to have a lot of good news for their veterans. And by the way, speaking of veterans, I appointed today the head Secretary of the Veterans Administration, David Shulkin.

And we'll do a news release in a little while, tell you about David -- he's fantastic. He's fantastic. He will do a truly great job.

One of the coming commitments I made is that we're going to straighten out the whole situation for our veterans. Our veterans have been treated horribly. They're waiting in line for 15, 16, 17 days. Cases where they go on in and they have a minor early-stage form of cancer and they can't see a doctor; by the time they get to the doctor, they're terminal. It's not going to happen, it's not going to happen. So David is going to do a fantastic job. We're going to be talking to a few people also to help David, and we have some of the great hospitals of the world going to align themselves with us on the Veterans Administration, like the Cleveland Clinic.

Like the Mayo Clinic, a few more that we have. And we're going to set up a group. These are hospitals that have been the top of the line. The absolute top of the line. And they're going to get together with their great doctors -- Doctor Toby Cosgrove as you know from the Cleveland Clinic has been very involved. Ike Perlmutter has been very, very involved, one of the great men of business. And we're going to straighten out the VA for our veterans. I've been promising that for a long time. And it's something I feel very, very strongly. So you'll get the information on David. And I think you'll be very impressed with the job he does. We looked long and hard -- we interviewed at least one hundred people, some good, some not so good. But we had a lot of talent. And we think this selection will be something that will, with time, with time, straighten it out and straighten it out for good. Because our veterans have been treated very unfairly.

Sure.

Ok, first of all, these meetings, as you know, are confidential, classified. So I'm not allowed to talk about what went on in a meeting. But we had many witnesses in that meeting. Many of them with us. And I will say again, I think it's a disgrace that information would be let out. I saw the information. I read the information outside of that meeting. It's all fake news. It's phony stuff. It did not happen. And it was gotten by opponents of ours, as you know because you reported it and so did many of the other people. It was a group of opponents they got together -- sick people -- and they put that crap together.

So I will tell you that not within the meeting, but outside the meeting, somebody released it. It should never have been -- number one, should never have even entered paper. But it should never have been released. But I read what has been released, and I think it's a disgrace. I think it's an absolute disgrace.

As far as hacking, I think it was Russia. But I think we also get hacked by other countries and other people.

And I can say that, you know, when we lost 22 million names and everything else that was hacked recently, they didn't make a big deal out of that. That was something that was extraordinary -- that was probably China. We had much hacking going on. One of the things we're going to do -- we have some of the greatest computer minds anywhere in the world that we've assembled. You saw just a sample of it two weeks ago up here we had the six top people in the world. They were never the same room together as a group.

And we're going to put those minds together, and we're going to form a defense. And I have to say this also -- the Democratic National Committee was totally open to be hacked. They did a very poor job. They could have had hacking defense, which we had. And I will give Reince Priebus credit because when Reince saw what was happening in the world and with this country, he went out and went to various firms and ordered a very, very strong hacking defense. And they tried to hack the Republican National Committee, and they were unable to break through. We have to do that for our country. It's very important.

Well, you know, President Putin and Russia put out a statement today that this fake news was indeed fake news. They said it totally never happened. Now somebody would say, "oh, of course he's going to say that" -- I respected the fact that he said that. And I will be honest, I think if he did have something, they would have released it. They would've been glad to release it. I think frankly, had they broken into the Republican National Committee, I think they would have released it -- just like they did about Hillary -- and all of the horrible things that her people,

like Mr. Podesta said about her. I mean what he said about her was horrible. If somebody said about me what Podesta said about Hillary, I was the boss, I would have fired him immediately. Or that person. Because what he said about her was horrible.

But remember this. We talk about the hacking. And hacking's bad. And it shouldn't be done. But look at the things that were hacked. Look at what was learned from that hacking. That Hillary Clinton got the questions to the debate and didn't report it? That's a horrible thing. That's a horrible thing. Can you imagine that if Donald Trump got the questions to the debate? It would have been the biggest story in the history of stories. And they would have said, "immediately you have to get out of the race." No one even talked about it. It's a very terrible thing.

Well, if Putin likes Donald Trump, I consider that an asset, not a liability. Because we have a horrible relationship with Russia. Russia can help us fight ISIS, which by the way, is number one tricky. I mean, if you look, this administration created ISIS by leaving at the wrong time. The void was created, ISIS was formed. If Putin likes Donald Trump, guess what folks? That's called an asset not a liability. Now I don't know that I am going to get along with Vladimir Putin. I hope I do, but there is a good chance I won't. And if I don't, do you honestly believe that Hillary would be tougher on Putin than me? Does anybody in this room really believe that? Give me a break. Ok.

No, I didn't. Well, let me tell you. Yeah. Let me just tell you what I do. When I leave our country, I'm a very high profile person, would you say? I am extremely careful. I'm surrounded by bodyguards. I'm surrounded by people. And I always tell them, anywhere, but I always tell them, if I'm leaving this country, "Be very careful. Because in your hotel rooms -- and no matter where you go, you're gonna probably have cameras. I'm not referring just to Russia, but I would certainly put them in that category -- and number one I hope you're going to be good anyway. But in those rooms you have cameras in the strangest places. Cameras that are so small with modern technology, you can't see them and you won't know. You better be careful or you'll be watching yourself on nightly television." I tell this to people all the time. I was in Russia, years ago, with the Miss Universe contest, which did very well. Moscow, the Moscow area. Did very, very well. And I told many people, "Be careful. Because you don't wanna see yourself on television." Cameras all over the place. And again, not just Russia. All over. Does anyone really believe that story? I'm also very much of a germaphobe, by the way. Believe me.

So I tweeted out, that I have no dealings with Russia, I have no deals in Russia, I have no deals that could happen in Russia because we have stayed away, and I have no loans with Russia. As a real estate developer I have very very little debt, I have assets that are - and now people have found out how big the company is. I have very little debt, I have very low debt. But I have no loans with Russia at all and I thought that was important to point out. I certify that. I have no deals. I have no loans. I have no dealings. We could make deals in Russia very easily, if we wanted to, I just don't want to because I think that would be a conflict. So I have no loans, no dealings, and no current pending deals.

Now I have to say one other thing. Over the weekend, I was offered two billion dollars to do a deal in Dubai with a very, very very amazing man, a great, great developer from the Middle East.

Hussain Damac; a friend of mine, great guy.

And was offered two billion dollars to do a deal in Dubai -- a number of deals. And I turned it down. I didn't have to turn it down. Because as you know, I have have a no

conflict situation because I'm president, which is -- I didn't know about that until about three months ago. But it's a nice thing to have.

But I don't want to take advantage of something. I have something that others don't have. Vice President Pence also has it. I don't think he'll need it. I have a feeling he's not going to need it. But I have a no conflict of interest provision as president. It was many, many years old. This is for presidents because they don't want presidents --

I understand. They don't want presidents getting tangled up in minutia. They want a president to run the country. So I could actually run my business. I could actually run my business and run government at the same time. I don't like the way that looks, but I would be able to do that if I wanted to.

I would be the only one who will be able to do that. You can't do that in any other capacity. But as a president, I could run the Trump Organization -- great, great company -- and I could run the country. I'd do a very good job. But I don't want to do that.

Now, all of these papers that you see here -- yes, go ahead. Sure.

Well, I'm not releasing the tax returns because, as you know, they're under audit.

Oh, gee, I've never heard that. I've never heard that before. The only one that cares about my tax returns are the reporters. They're the only ones.

But no, I don't think so. I won -- I mean, I became president -- no, I don't think they care at all. I don't think they care at all. I think you care. I think you care.

First of all, you learn very little from a tax return. What you should do is go to federal elections and take a look at the numbers. And actually, people have learned a lot about my company. And now they realize my company is much bigger, much more powerful than they ever thought. We're in many, many countries and I'm very proud of it. And what I'm going to be doing is my two sons, who are right here, Don and Eric, are going to be running the company. They are going to be running it in a very professional manner.

They are not going to discuss it with me. Again, I don't have to do this. They're not going to discuss it with me. And with that, I'm going to bring up Sherri Dillon. And she is going to go -- these papers are just some of the many documents that I have signed turning over complete and total control to my sons.

I really think that when you watch was going on with -- what's happening -- I was watching, as an example, Rex Tillerson. I think it's brilliant what he's doing and what he's saying. I watched yesterday, as you know, our great senator who is going to be a great Attorney General.

And he was brilliant. And what people don't know is that he was a great prosecutor and Attorney General in Alabama. And he was brilliant yesterday. So I really think that they are -- I think we have one of the great cabinets ever put together. And we've been

hearing that from so many people -- people are so happy. You know, in the case of Rex, he ran incredibly Exxonmobil.

When there was a find, he would get it. When they needed something, he would be there. A friend of mine is very, very substantial in the oil business - Harold Hamm -- big supporter -- he said there's no one in the business like Rex Tillerson.

And that's what we want. That's what I want to bring to government. I want to bring the greatest people into government because we're way behind. We don't make good deals anymore. I say it all the time at speeches. We don't make the deals anymore. We make bad deals.

Our trade deals are a disaster. We have hundreds of billions of dollars of losses on a yearly basis -- hundreds of billions with China on trade and trade imbalance, with Japan, with Mexico, with just about everybody.

We don't make good deals anymore. So we need people that are smart. We need people that are successful. And they got successful because, generally speaking, they're smart. And that's what I put. I'm very proud of the cabinet. I think they're doing very well. It's very interesting how it's going, but I think they're doing very, very well.

Yeah.

Sure. Finally Obamacare. I thought it was never going to be asked.

You're going to be very, very proud, as not only the media and reporters -- can be very proud of what we put forth having to do with healthcare. Obamacare is a complete and total disaster.

They can say what they want. They can guide you anyway they want to guide you. In some cases, they guide you incorrectly. In most cases, you realize what's happened. It's imploding as we said. Some states have over 100 percent increase.

And '17 and I said this two years ago -- '17 is going to be the bad year. It's going to be catastrophic. Frankly, we could sit back -- and it was a thought from a political standpoint, but it wouldn't be fair to the people -- we could sit back and wait and watch and criticize.

And we could be a Chuck Schumer and sit back and criticize it and people would come -- they would come begging to us -- please, we have to do something about Obamacare. We don't want to own it. We don't want to own it politically.

They own it right now. So the easiest thing would be to let it implode in '17 and believe me, we'd get pretty much whatever we wanted. But it would take a long time. We're going to be submitting, as soon as our secretary is approved, almost simultaneously -- shortly thereafter -- a plan. It will be repeal and replace. It will be, essentially simultaneously.

It will be various segments, you understand but will most likely be on the same day or the same week -- but probably the same day -- could be a same hour. So we're going to do repeal and replace -- very complicated stuff. And we're going to get a health bill passed -- we're going to get healthcare taken care of for this country. You have deductibles that are so high that after people go broke paying their premiums, which

are going through the roof, the health care can't even be used by them because the deductibles are so high.

Obamacare is the Democrats' problem. We are going to take the problem off the shelves for them.

We're doing them a tremendous service by doing it. We could sit back and let them hang with it.

We are doing the Democrats a great service. So as soon as our secretary is approved, and gets into the office, we will be filing a plan and it was actually -- pretty accurately reported today -- The New York Times -- and the plan will be repeal and replace Obamacare.

We're going to have a health care that is far less expensive and far better. OK.

Well, if I can save jobs -- for instance, I was doing individual companies. And people were saying well, that's only one company. Like, we did a good job with Carrier. And I want to thank United Technologies, which owns Carrier. But we saved close to one thousand jobs.

And they were gone. And Mike Pence and his staff really helped with a lot. But those were jobs -- that was a tough one because they announced a year and a half before that they were leaving. So it's always tough when they are building a plant -- is a little tougher than before they start or before they make an announcement. So I want to thank United Technologies.

But we've been meeting with a lot of companies. But what really is happening is the word is now out that when you want to move your plant to Mexico or some other place and you want to fire all of your workers from Michigan and Ohio and all these places that I won, for good reason, not going to happen that way anymore. You want to move your plant, and you think, as an example, you're going to to build that plant in Mexico.

And you're going to make your air conditioners or your cars or whatever you're making, and you're going to sell them through a -- what will be a very, very strong border -- not a weak border like it is now. We don't even have a border. It's an open sieve. But you're going to sell through a very strong border -- not going to happen.

You're going to pay a very large border tax. So if you want to move to another country and if you want to fire all of our great American workers that got you there in the first place, you can move from Michigan to Tennessee and to North Carolina and South Carolina -- you can move from South Carolina back to Michigan. You can do anyway. You have a lot of states at play. A lot of competition. So it's not like oh, gee, I'm taking the competition away. You got a lot of places you can move. And I don't care. As long as it's within the United States, the borders of the United States. There will be a major border tax on these companies that are leaving and getting away with murder.

And if our politicians had what it takes, they would have done this years ago. And you would have millions more workers right now in the United States that are 96 million really wanting a job and they can't get. You know that story — the real number. That's the real number. So that's the way it is. OK. Go ahead.

On the fence, it's not a fence it's the wall. You just misreported it. We're going to build a wall. I could wait about a year and a half until we finish our negotiations with Mexico, which will start immediately after we get to office. But I don't want to wait. Mike Pence is leading an effort to get final approvals through various agencies and through Congress for the wall to begin. I don't feel like waiting a year or year and a half. We're going to start building.

Mexico, in some form, and there many different forms, will reimburse us and they will reimburse us for the cost of the wall.

That will happen. Whether it's a tax or whether it's a payment -- probably less likely that it's a payment -- but it will happen. So remember this, OK? I would say we are going to build a wall, and people would go crazy.

I would then say -- who's going to pay for the wall? And people would all scream out - - twenty five, thirty thousand people, because no one's ever had crowds like Trump has had. You know that. You don't like to report that, but that's OK. OK, now he agrees. Finally, he agrees. But, I say, who's going to pay for the wall? And they will scream out -- Mexico. Now, reports went out last week -- oh, Mexico's not going to pay for the wall because of a reimbursement.

What's the difference? I want to get the wall started. I don't want to wait a year and a half until I make my deal with Mexico. And we probably will have a deal sooner than that. And by the way, Mexico has been so nice. So nice.

I respect the government of Mexico. I respect the people of Mexico. I love the people of Mexico. I have many people from Mexico working for me. They are phenomenal people. The government of Mexico is terrific. I don't blame them for what's happened. I don't blame them for taking advantage of the United States. I wish our politicians were so smart. Mexico has taken advantage of the United States. I don't blame the representatives and various presidents, etc. of Mexico. What I say is we shouldn't have allowed that to happen.

It's not going to happen anymore. So in order to get the wall started, Mexico will pay for the wall. But it will be reimbursed. OK?

Supreme Court judge. So, you know, I have a list of twenty. I've gone through them. We met with numerous candidates. They're outstanding in every case. They were largely recommended and highly recommended by Federalist Society. And Jim DeMint was also very much involved in this group, which is fantastic. And he's a fantastic guy.

So between Leo and Jim DeMint and some senators and some congress people, we have a great group of people. I'll be making the decision on who we will put up for



Justice of the United States Supreme Court - a replacement for the great, great Justice Scalia. That will be probably within two weeks of the 20th. So within about two weeks, probably the second week, I consider the first day because we'll all still be doing some pretty good signings.

And I think what we'll do is we'll wait til Monday. That will be a really first business day as opposed to doing it on Friday because on Friday, people are going to have a very good time at the inauguration. And then Saturday, as you know, we're having a big church service and lots of good things are happening. So our first day -- and you all be invited to the signings -- but we'll be doing some pretty good signings on Monday and Tuesday and Wednesday and Thursday and Friday. And then also the next week.

And you're all invited. But on the Supreme Court, I'll be making that decision and it will be a decision which I very strongly believe in. I think is one of the reasons I got elected. I think the people of this country did not want to see what was happening with the Supreme Court.

So I think it was a very, very big decision as to why I was elected.

I think it was disgraceful -- disgraceful that the intelligence agencies allowed any information that turned out to be so false and fake out. I think it's a disgrace, and I say that -- and I say that, and that's something that Nazi Germany would have done and did do. I think it's a disgrace that information that was false and fake and never happened got released to the public.

As far as BuzzFeed, which is a failing pile of garbage, writing it, I think they're going to suffer the consequences. They already are. And as far as CNN going out of their way to build it up -- and by the way, we just found out I was coming down. Michael Cohen -- I was being -- Michael Cohen is a very talented lawyer. He's a good lawyer in my firm. It was just reported that it wasn't this Michael Cohen they we're talking about. So all night long it's Michael Cohen.

I said, "I want to see your passport." He brings his passport to my office. I say, "Hey, wait a minute. He didn't leave the country. He wasn't out of the country." They had Michael Cohen of the Trump Organization was in Prague. It turned out to be a different Michael Cohen. It's a disgrace what took place. It's a disgrace and I think they ought to apologize to start with Michael Cohen.

Go ahead.

Not you.

Your organization is terrible.

Quiet, quiet.

She's asking a question, don't be rude. Don't be rude.

Don't be rude. No, I'm not going to give you a question. I'm not going to give you a question.

You are fake news. Go ahead.

Go ahead.

I don't say he went too far. No.

Plans to send me a bill for what?

I hadn't heard Lindsey Graham was going to do that. Lindsey Graham. I've been competing with him for a long time. He is going to crack that one percent barrier one day. I didn't realize Lindsey Graham still had it. That's all right. I think Lindsey Graham is a nice guy actually. I've heard that he is a nice guy and I've been hearing it.

Go ahead. Go ahead. You've been waiting.

Stand up please.

BBC news. That's another beauty.

There's nothing they could come back with.

Go ahead.

Well, I don't recommend reforms. I recommend people that are -- that have some moral compass.

You know, I've been hearing more and more about a thing called fake news and they're talking about people that go and say all sorts of things. But I will tell you, some of the media outlets that I deal with are fake news more so than anybody. I could name them, but I won't bother, but you have a few sitting right in front of us. So they're very, very dishonest people, but I think it's just something we're going to have to live with.

I guess the advantage I have is that I can speak back. When it happens to somebody that doesn't have this -- doesn't have that kind of a megaphone, they can't speak back. It's a very sad thing. I've seen people destroyed. I've seen people absolutely destroyed. And I think it's very unfair. So, all I can ask for is honest reporters.

Yes?

Intelligence agencies are vital and very, very important. We are going to be putting in, as you know, Mr. Pompeo and others, you know the Senator Dan Coats. We're going to be putting in some outstanding people. Within 90 days, they're going to be coming back to me with a major report on hacking.

I want them to cover this situation. I also want them, however, to cover, maybe most importantly -- because we're hacked by everybody -- you know, the United States, our government, out of a list of 17 in terms of industries is the worst, it's number 17, in terms of protection.

If you look at the retail industry, if you look at the banking industry, various industries, out of 17 industries -- they put this in the category of an industry -- the United States is last in terms of protecting, let's say, hacking defense. Like we had a great hacking defense at the Republican National Committee.

That's why we weren't hacked. By the way, we were told that they were trying to hack us, but they weren't able to hack. And I think I get some credit because I told Reince, and Reince did a phenomenal job, but I said I want strong hacking defense.

The Democratic National Committee didn't do that. Maybe that's why the country runs so badly that way. But I will tell you -- wait -- wait -- wait, let me finish. Within 90 days, we will be coming up with a major report on hacking defense, how do we stop this new phenomena -- fairly new phenomena - because the United States is hacked by everybody.

That includes Russia and China and everybody -- everybody. OK.

Go ahead -- go ahead.

All right, but you know what, it could have been others also.

Well, I think it's pretty sad when intelligence reports get leaked out to the press. I think it's pretty sad. First of all, it's illegal. You know, these are -- these are classified and certified meetings and reports.

I'll tell you what does happen. I have many meetings with intelligence. And every time I meet, people are reading about it. Somebody's leaking it out. So, there's -- maybe it's my office. Maybe in my office because I have a lot of people, a lot of great people. Maybe it's them. And what I did is I said I won't tell anybody. I'm going to have a meeting and I won't tell anybody about my meeting with intelligence.

And what happened is I had my meeting. Nobody knew, not even Rhona, my executive assistant for years, she didn't know -- I didn't tell her. Nobody knew. The meeting was had, the meeting was over, they left. And immediately the word got out that I had a meeting.

So, I don't want that -- I don't want that. It's very unfair to the country. It's very unfair to our country; what's happened. That report should have never -- first of all, it shouldn't have been printed because it's not worth the paper it's written on. And I thank the New York Times for saying that.

I thank a lot of different people for saying that. But, I will tell you, that should never, ever happen. OK.

He shouldn't be doing it. He won't be doing it. Russia will have much greater respect for our country when I'm leading than when other people have led it. You will see that. Russia will respect our country more. He shouldn't have done it. I don't believe that he will be doing it more now.

We have to work something out, but it's not just Russia. Take a look at what's happened. You don't report it the same way; 22 million accounts were hacked in this country by China. And that's because we have no defense. That's because we're run by people that don't know what they're doing.

Russia will have far greater respect for our country when I'm leading it and I believe and I hope -- maybe it won't happen, it's possible. But I won't be giving a little reset button like Hillary. Here, press this piece of plastic. A guy looked at her like what is she doing? There's no reset button. We're either going to get along or we're not. I hope we get along, but if we don't, that's possible too.

But Russia and other countries -- and other countries, including China, which has taken total advantage of us economically, total advantage of us in the South China Sea by building their massive fortress, total. Russia, China, Japan, Mexico, all countries will respect us far more, far more than they do under past administrations.

I want to thank everybody. So this is all -- just so you understand, these papers -- because I'm not sure that was explained properly. But these papers are all just a piece of the many, many companies that are being put into trust to be run by my two sons that I hope at the end of eight years, I'll come back and say, oh, you did a good job. Otherwise, if they do a bad job, I'll say, "You're fired."

Good-bye, everybody. Good-bye.

(source: <http://www.npr.org/2017/01/11/509137239/watch-live-trump-holds-first-press-conference-as-president-elect> )

### Étude sur <http://calculis.net/compteur-de-mots>:

Il y a **6123** mots dont **1019** mots distincts dans votre texte de 34555 caractères dont 7549 espaces.

#### Occurrence des mots dans le texte.

Les mots de 1 lettre ne sont pas pris en compte. Les mots les plus fréquents sont :

**and** (221 ; 3.61%) - **the** (212 ; 3.46%) - **to** (194 ; 3.17%) - **that** (159 ; 2.6%) - **it** (134 ; 2.19%)  
- **of** (127 ; 2.07%) - **we** (125 ; 2.04%) - **have** (107 ; 1.75%) - **you** (102 ; 1.67%) - **they** (98 ; 1.6%)  
- **--** (92 ; 1.5%) - **going** (90 ; 1.47%) - **be** (81 ; 1.32%) - **very** (78 ; 1.27%) - **re** (68 ; 1.11%)  
- **in** (65 ; 1.06%) - **but** (58 ; 0.95%) - **so** (52 ; 0.85%) - **is** (52 ; 0.85%) - **was** (50 ; 0.82%)  
- **people** (50 ; 0.82%) - **with** (47 ; 0.77%) - **will** (46 ; 0.75%) - **think** (46 ; 0.75%)  
- **don** (45 ; 0.73%) - **are** (43 ; 0.7%) - **what** (40 ; 0.65%) - **for** (37 ; 0.6%) - **because** (36 ; 0.59%)  
- **not** (35 ; 0.57%) - **he** (35 ; 0.57%) - **do** (34 ; 0.56%) - **want** (34 ; 0.56%) - **all** (33 ; 0.54%)  
- **as** (33 ; 0.54%) - **on** (31 ; 0.51%) - **out** (31 ; 0.51%) - **would** (31 ; 0.51%) - **been** (29 ; 0.47%)

- this (28 ; 0.46%) - about (26 ; 0.42%) - know (26 ; 0.42%) - great (25 ; 0.41%)  
- say (24 ; 0.39%) - no (24 ; 0.39%) - some (24 ; 0.39%) - get (23 ; 0.38%) - lot (23 ; 0.38%)  
- ve (23 ; 0.38%) - by (23 ; 0.38%) - our (23 ; 0.38%) - them (23 ; 0.38%) - country (22 ; 0.36%)  
- one (22 ; 0.36%) - had (20 ; 0.33%) - russia (20 ; 0.33%) - many (20 ; 0.33%) - if (20 ; 0.33%)  
- at (20 ; 0.33%) - news (19 ; 0.31%) - just (19 ; 0.31%) - can (19 ; 0.31%) - mexico (19 ; 0.31%)  
- way (18 ; 0.29%) - like (18 ; 0.29%) - good (18 ; 0.29%) - could (17 ; 0.28%) - my (17 ; 0.28%)  
- go (17 ; 0.28%) - were (17 ; 0.28%) - said (16 ; 0.26%) - doing (16 ; 0.26%)  
- never (16 ; 0.26%) - tell (15 ; 0.24%) - other (15 ; 0.24%) - did (15 ; 0.24%) - me (14 ; 0.23%)  
- well (14 ; 0.23%) - states (14 ; 0.23%) - now (14 ; 0.23%) - ll (14 ; 0.23%) - there (14 ; 0.23%)  
- time (13 ; 0.21%) - when (13 ; 0.21%) - happen (13 ; 0.21%) - didn (13 ; 0.21%)  
- from (13 ; 0.21%) - an (12 ; 0.2%) - much (12 ; 0.2%) - meeting (12 ; 0.2%) - back (12 ; 0.2%)  
- hacking (12 ; 0.2%) - over (12 ; 0.2%) - which (12 ; 0.2%) - something (12 ; 0.2%)  
- won (11 ; 0.18%) - things (11 ; 0.18%) - job (11 ; 0.18%) - really (11 ; 0.18%) - or (11 ; 0.18%)  
- these (11 ; 0.18%) - thing (11 ; 0.18%) - deals (11 ; 0.18%) - us (11 ; 0.18%) - has (11 ; 0.18%)  
- united (11 ; 0.18%) - make (10 ; 0.16%) - right (10 ; 0.16%) - more (10 ; 0.16%)  
- ahead (10 ; 0.16%) - put (10 ; 0.16%) - up (9 ; 0.15%) - should (9 ; 0.15%) - fake (9 ; 0.15%)  
- also (9 ; 0.15%) - wall (9 ; 0.15%) - got (9 ; 0.15%) - far (9 ; 0.15%) - wait (9 ; 0.15%)  
- maybe (9 ; 0.15%) - big (9 ; 0.15%) - even (8 ; 0.13%) - ok (8 ; 0.13%) - two (8 ; 0.13%)  
- believe (8 ; 0.13%) - thank (8 ; 0.13%) - released (8 ; 0.13%) - here (8 ; 0.13%)  
- your (8 ; 0.13%) - probably (8 ; 0.13%) - run (8 ; 0.13%) - care (8 ; 0.13%) - first (8 ; 0.13%)  
- look (8 ; 0.13%) - defense (8 ; 0.13%) - little (8 ; 0.13%) - hacked (8 ; 0.13%)  
- years (8 ; 0.13%) - respect (8 ; 0.13%) - veterans (8 ; 0.13%) - 17 (7 ; 0.11%) - day (7 ; 0.11%)  
- office (7 ; 0.11%) - cohen (7 ; 0.11%) - happened (7 ; 0.11%) - everybody (7 ; 0.11%)  
- president (7 ; 0.11%) - coming (7 ; 0.11%) - who (7 ; 0.11%) - fantastic (7 ; 0.11%)  
- than (7 ; 0.11%) - intelligence (7 ; 0.11%) - see (7 ; 0.11%) - through (7 ; 0.11%)  
- disgrace (7 ; 0.11%) - those (7 ; 0.11%) - companies (7 ; 0.11%) - same (7 ; 0.11%)  
- let (7 ; 0.11%) - china (7 ; 0.11%) - michael (7 ; 0.11%) - number (7 ; 0.11%) - world (6 ; 0.1%)  
- deal (6 ; 0.1%) - government (6 ; 0.1%) - advantage (6 ; 0.1%) - company (6 ; 0.1%)  
- trump (6 ; 0.1%) - tax (6 ; 0.1%) - border (6 ; 0.1%) - pretty (6 ; 0.1%) - year (6 ; 0.1%)  
- move (6 ; 0.1%) - report (6 ; 0.1%) - her (6 ; 0.1%) - jobs (6 ; 0.1%) - ever (6 ; 0.1%)  
- before (6 ; 0.1%) - together (6 ; 0.1%) - business (6 ; 0.1%) - horrible (6 ; 0.1%)  
- within (6 ; 0.1%) - long (6 ; 0.1%) - countries (6 ; 0.1%) - information (6 ; 0.1%)  
- hope (6 ; 0.1%) - their (6 ; 0.1%) - group (6 ; 0.1%) - tremendous (6 ; 0.1%)  
- industry (6 ; 0.1%) - plant (6 ; 0.1%) - anymore (5 ; 0.08%) - anybody (5 ; 0.08%)  
- somebody (5 ; 0.08%) - give (5 ; 0.08%) - obamacare (5 ; 0.08%) - committee (5 ; 0.08%)  
- happening (5 ; 0.08%) - national (5 ; 0.08%) - industries (5 ; 0.08%) - ago (5 ; 0.08%)  
- need (5 ; 0.08%) - done (5 ; 0.08%) - take (5 ; 0.08%) - pay (5 ; 0.08%) - david (5 ; 0.08%)  
- actually (5 ; 0.08%) - only (5 ; 0.08%) - building (5 ; 0.08%) - nice (5 ; 0.08%) - guy (5 ; 0.08%)  
- went (5 ; 0.08%) - various (5 ; 0.08%) - heard (5 ; 0.08%) - total (5 ; 0.08%)  
- weeks (5 ; 0.08%) - proud (5 ; 0.08%) - into (5 ; 0.08%) - putin (5 ; 0.08%)  
- agencies (5 ; 0.08%) - leaving (5 ; 0.08%) - dollars (5 ; 0.08%) - start (5 ; 0.08%)  
- new (5 ; 0.08%) - hillary (5 ; 0.08%) - shouldn (5 ; 0.08%) - involved (5 ; 0.08%)  
- place (4 ; 0.07%) - sure (4 ; 0.07%) - different (4 ; 0.07%) - mean (4 ; 0.07%)  
- lindsey (4 ; 0.07%) - seen (4 ; 0.07%) - does (4 ; 0.07%) - presidents (4 ; 0.07%)  
- told (4 ; 0.07%) - half (4 ; 0.07%) - graham (4 ; 0.07%) - loans (4 ; 0.07%) - sit (4 ; 0.07%)  
- thought (4 ; 0.07%) - build (4 ; 0.07%) - she (4 ; 0.07%) - real (4 ; 0.07%)  
- supreme (4 ; 0.07%) - most (4 ; 0.07%) - week (4 ; 0.07%) - away (4 ; 0.07%)  
- saying (4 ; 0.07%) - michigan (4 ; 0.07%) - careful (4 ; 0.07%) - papers (4 ; 0.07%)  
- down (4 ; 0.07%) - getting (4 ; 0.07%) - oh (4 ; 0.07%) - straighten (4 ; 0.07%)  
- strong (4 ; 0.07%) - bad (4 ; 0.07%) - reince (4 ; 0.07%) - decision (4 ; 0.07%)  
- come (4 ; 0.07%) - today (4 ; 0.07%) - beautiful (4 ; 0.07%) - saw (4 ; 0.07%)  
- court (4 ; 0.07%) - billions (4 ; 0.07%) - turned (4 ; 0.07%) - cameras (4 ; 0.07%)  
- last (3 ; 0.05%) - cases (3 ; 0.05%) - rude (3 ; 0.05%) - few (3 ; 0.05%) - signings (3 ; 0.05%)  
- talking (3 ; 0.05%) - donald (3 ; 0.05%) - question (3 ; 0.05%) - until (3 ; 0.05%)  
- days (3 ; 0.05%) - pence (3 ; 0.05%) - doctor (3 ; 0.05%) - understand (3 ; 0.05%)  
- clinic (3 ; 0.05%) - friday (3 ; 0.05%) - guess (3 ; 0.05%) - immediately (3 ; 0.05%)  
- form (3 ; 0.05%) - others (3 ; 0.05%) - top (3 ; 0.05%) - announced (3 ; 0.05%)

- leading (3 ; 0.05%)    - gone (3 ; 0.05%)    - television (3 ; 0.05%)    - strongly (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - million (3 ; 0.05%)    - anyway (3 ; 0.05%)    - republican (3 ; 0.05%)    - room (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - written (3 ; 0.05%)    - places (3 ; 0.05%)    - organizations (3 ; 0.05%)    - always (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - looked (3 ; 0.05%)    - isis (3 ; 0.05%)    - along (3 ; 0.05%)    - treated (3 ; 0.05%)    - high (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - couple (3 ; 0.05%)    - hack (3 ; 0.05%)    - blame (3 ; 0.05%)    - line (3 ; 0.05%)    - how (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - allowed (3 ; 0.05%)    - why (3 ; 0.05%)    - meetings (3 ; 0.05%)    - ok? (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - conflict (3 ; 0.05%)    - drugs (3 ; 0.05%)    - wanted (3 ; 0.05%)    - debt (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - again (3 ; 0.05%)    - press (3 ; 0.05%)    - fact (3 ; 0.05%)    - important (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - reported (3 ; 0.05%)    - dealings (3 ; 0.05%)    - professional (3 ; 0.05%)    - reports (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - then (3 ; 0.05%)    - able (3 ; 0.05%)    - greatest (3 ; 0.05%)    - waiting (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - guide (3 ; 0.05%)    - own (3 ; 0.05%)    - better (3 ; 0.05%)    - making (3 ; 0.05%)    - trade (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - sons (3 ; 0.05%)    - smart (3 ; 0.05%)    - sad (3 ; 0.05%)    - movement (3 ; 0.05%)    - rex (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - service (3 ; 0.05%)    - gotten (3 ; 0.05%)    - talent (3 ; 0.05%)    - drug (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - hearing (3 ; 0.05%)    - workers (3 ; 0.05%)    - bands (3 ; 0.05%)    - another (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - unfair (3 ; 0.05%)    - created (3 ; 0.05%)    - hard (3 ; 0.05%)    - brilliant (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - started (3 ; 0.05%)    - bring (3 ; 0.05%)    - replace (3 ; 0.05%)    - general (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - reporters (3 ; 0.05%)    - major (3 ; 0.05%)    - plan (3 ; 0.05%)    - terms (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - yesterday (3 ; 0.05%)    - bidding (3 ; 0.05%)    - administration (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - secretary (3 ; 0.05%)    - competition (3 ; 0.05%)    - organization (3 ; 0.05%)    - health (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - realize (3 ; 0.05%)    - carolina (3 ; 0.05%)    - south (3 ; 0.05%)    - taken (3 ; 0.05%)  
 - repeal (3 ; 0.05%)    - situation (3 ; 0.05%)    - ube (2 ; 0.03%)    - am (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - surrounded (2 ; 0.03%)    - percent (2 ; 0.03%)    - tougher (2 ; 0.03%)    - leave (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - yeah (2 ; 0.03%)    - called (2 ; 0.03%)    - media (2 ; 0.03%)    - every (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - outstanding (2 ; 0.03%)    - having (2 ; 0.03%)    - less (2 ; 0.03%)    - carrier (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - recommended (2 ; 0.03%)    - healthcare (2 ; 0.03%)    - finally (2 ; 0.03%)    - liability (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - still (2 ; 0.03%)    - story (2 ; 0.03%)    - simultaneously (2 ; 0.03%)    - justice (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - likes (2 ; 0.03%)    - terrible (2 ; 0.03%)    - after (2 ; 0.03%)    - likely (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - learned (2 ; 0.03%)    - bill (2 ; 0.03%)    - questions (2 ; 0.03%)    - deductibles (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - monday (2 ; 0.03%)    - approved (2 ; 0.03%)    - soon (2 ; 0.03%)    - york (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - criticize (2 ; 0.03%)    - conferences (2 ; 0.03%)    - demint (2 ; 0.03%)    - jim (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - please (2 ; 0.03%)    - problem (2 ; 0.03%)    - good-bye (2 ; 0.03%)    - whatever (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - democrats (2 ; 0.03%)    - consider (2 ; 0.03%)    - asset (2 ; 0.03%)    - times (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - mike (2 ; 0.03%)    - sell (2 ; 0.03%)    - reimburse (2 ; 0.03%)    - friend (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - mine (2 ; 0.03%)    - discuss (2 ; 0.03%)    - congress (2 ; 0.03%)    - whether (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - complete (2 ; 0.03%)    - billion (2 ; 0.03%)    - offered (2 ; 0.03%)    - watch (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - dubai (2 ; 0.03%)    - payment (2 ; 0.03%)    - running (2 ; 0.03%)    - bit (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - stopped (2 ; 0.03%)    - returns (2 ; 0.03%)    - giving (2 ; 0.03%)    - fence (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - any (2 ; 0.03%)    - remember (2 ; 0.03%)    - under (2 ; 0.03%)    - quite (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - basis (2 ; 0.03%)    - taking (2 ; 0.03%)    - finish (2 ; 0.03%)    - politicians (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - gee (2 ; 0.03%)    - example (2 ; 0.03%)    - wall? (2 ; 0.03%)    - disaster (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - hundreds (2 ; 0.03%)    - watching (2 ; 0.03%)    - yourself (2 ; 0.03%)    - moscow (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - his (2 ; 0.03%)    - thousand (2 ; 0.03%)    - japan (2 ; 0.03%)    - used (2 ; 0.03%)    - list (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - category (2 ; 0.03%)    - technologies (2 ; 0.03%)    - successful (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - phenomenal (2 ; 0.03%)    - tough (2 ; 0.03%)    - senator (2 ; 0.03%)    - found (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - twenty (2 ; 0.03%)    - scream (2 ; 0.03%)    - tillerson (2 ; 0.03%)    - attorney (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - agrees (2 ; 0.03%)    - almost (2 ; 0.03%)    - word (2 ; 0.03%)    - developer (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - fire (2 ; 0.03%)    - case (2 ; 0.03%)    - rooms (2 ; 0.03%)    - honest (2 ; 0.03%)    - being (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - paper (2 ; 0.03%)    - person (2 ; 0.03%)    - program (2 ; 0.03%)    - piece (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - 20th (2 ; 0.03%)    - gonna (2 ; 0.03%)    - 90 (2 ; 0.03%)    - button (2 ; 0.03%)    - nobody (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - where (2 ; 0.03%)    - greater (2 ; 0.03%)    - f-35 (2 ; 0.03%)    - cleveland (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - destroyed (2 ; 0.03%)    - ford (2 ; 0.03%)    - reading (2 ; 0.03%)    - behind (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - 22 (2 ; 0.03%)    - following (2 ; 0.03%)    - possible (2 ; 0.03%)    - weren (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - next (2 ; 0.03%)    - lawyer (2 ; 0.03%)    - talk (2 ; 0.03%)    - spirit (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - incredibly (2 ; 0.03%)    - read (2 ; 0.03%)    - classified (2 ; 0.03%)    - knew (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - inauguration (2 ; 0.03%)    - reset (2 ; 0.03%)    - help (2 ; 0.03%)    - else (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - outside (2 ; 0.03%)    - hospitals (2 ; 0.03%)    - stuff (2 ; 0.03%)    - opponents (2 ; 0.03%)  
 - incredible (2 ; 0.03%)    - opposed (2 ; 0.03%)    - moving (2 ; 0.03%)    - segments (2 ; 0.03%)

- appreciate (2 ; 0.03%) - wasn (2 ; 0.03%) - turn (2 ; 0.03%) - cover (2 ; 0.03%)  
- recommend (2 ; 0.03%) - minds (2 ; 0.03%) - including (2 ; 0.03%) - speaking (2 ; 0.03%)  
- fiat (2 ; 0.03%) - pharma (2 ; 0.03%) - murder (2 ; 0.03%) - chrysler (2 ; 0.03%)  
- speak (2 ; 0.03%) - disgraceful (2 ; 0.03%) - break (2 ; 0.03%) - elected (2 ; 0.03%)  
- left (2 ; 0.03%) - frankly (2 ; 0.03%) - putting (2 ; 0.03%) - large (2 ; 0.03%) - too (2 ; 0.03%)  
- fired (2 ; 0.03%) - him (2 ; 0.03%) - plans (2 ; 0.03%) - podesta (2 ; 0.03%) - create (2 ; 0.03%)  
- invited (2 ; 0.03%) - blot (2 ; 0.03%) - mr (2 ; 0.03%) - false (2 ; 0.03%)  
- phenomena (2 ; 0.03%) - generals (2 ; 0.03%) - certainly (2 ; 0.03%) - democratic (2 ; 0.03%)  
- feel (2 ; 0.03%) - work (2 ; 0.03%) - admirals (2 ; 0.03%) - anywhere (2 ; 0.03%)  
- massive (2 ; 0.03%) - crowds (2 ; 0.03%) - passport (2 ; 0.03%) - totally (2 ; 0.03%)  
- quiet (2 ; 0.03%) - yet (2 ; 0.03%) - release (2 ; 0.03%) - absolute (2 ; 0.03%)  
- doesn (2 ; 0.03%) - credit (2 ; 0.03%) - save (2 ; 0.03%) - open (2 ; 0.03%)  
- properly (2 ; 0.03%) - ohio (1 ; 0.02%) - yes? (1 ; 0.02%) - reason (1 ; 0.02%)  
- conditioners (1 ; 0.02%) - happens (1 ; 0.02%) - sieve (1 ; 0.02%) - kind (1 ; 0.02%)  
- american (1 ; 0.02%) - tennessee (1 ; 0.02%) - north (1 ; 0.02%) - live (1 ; 0.02%)  
- weak (1 ; 0.02%) - otherwise (1 ; 0.02%) - absolutely (1 ; 0.02%) - ask (1 ; 0.02%)  
- printed (1 ; 0.02%) - worth (1 ; 0.02%) - megaphone (1 ; 0.02%) - cars (1 ; 0.02%)  
- air (1 ; 0.02%) - however (1 ; 0.02%) - off (1 ; 0.02%) - leaking (1 ; 0.02%) - runs (1 ; 0.02%)  
- roof (1 ; 0.02%) - shelves (1 ; 0.02%) - trying (1 ; 0.02%) - filing (1 ; 0.02%) - gets (1 ; 0.02%)  
- hang (1 ; 0.02%) - "you (1 ; 0.02%) - badly (1 ; 0.02%) - premiums (1 ; 0.02%)  
- leaked (1 ; 0.02%) - illegal (1 ; 0.02%) - certified (1 ; 0.02%) - meet (1 ; 0.02%)  
- includes (1 ; 0.02%) - fairly (1 ; 0.02%) - stop (1 ; 0.02%) - paying (1 ; 0.02%)  
- broke (1 ; 0.02%) - accurately (1 ; 0.02%) - protecting (1 ; 0.02%) - assistant (1 ; 0.02%)  
- executive (1 ; 0.02%) - rhona (1 ; 0.02%) - close (1 ; 0.02%) - staff (1 ; 0.02%)  
- helped (1 ; 0.02%) - pompeo (1 ; 0.02%) - dan (1 ; 0.02%) - announcement (1 ; 0.02%)  
- coats (1 ; 0.02%) - saved (1 ; 0.02%) - dishonest (1 ; 0.02%) - retail (1 ; 0.02%)  
- banking (1 ; 0.02%) - instance (1 ; 0.02%) - expensive (1 ; 0.02%) - individual (1 ; 0.02%)  
- protection (1 ; 0.02%) - owns (1 ; 0.02%) - importantly (1 ; 0.02%) - worst (1 ; 0.02%)  
- vital (1 ; 0.02%) - stand (1 ; 0.02%) - already (1 ; 0.02%) - sea (1 ; 0.02%) - cnn (1 ; 0.02%)  
- met (1 ; 0.02%) - economically (1 ; 0.02%) - numerous (1 ; 0.02%)  
- consequences (1 ; 0.02%) - garbage (1 ; 0.02%) - pile (1 ; 0.02%) - writing (1 ; 0.02%)  
- candidates (1 ; 0.02%) - suffer (1 ; 0.02%) - either (1 ; 0.02%) - judge (1 ; 0.02%)  
- wish (1 ; 0.02%) - representatives (1 ; 0.02%) - firm (1 ; 0.02%) - terrific (1 ; 0.02%)  
- working (1 ; 0.02%) - etc (1 ; 0.02%) - talented (1 ; 0.02%) - doing? (1 ; 0.02%)  
- plastic (1 ; 0.02%) - reimbursed (1 ; 0.02%) - order (1 ; 0.02%) - largely (1 ; 0.02%)  
- failing (1 ; 0.02%) - scalia (1 ; 0.02%) - second (1 ; 0.02%) - replacement (1 ; 0.02%)  
- thursday (1 ; 0.02%) - reasons (1 ; 0.02%) - wednesday (1 ; 0.02%) - tuesday (1 ; 0.02%)  
- lots (1 ; 0.02%) - church (1 ; 0.02%) - saturday (1 ; 0.02%) - administrations (1 ; 0.02%)  
- til (1 ; 0.02%) - past (1 ; 0.02%) - nazi (1 ; 0.02%) - society (1 ; 0.02%) - buzzfeed (1 ; 0.02%)  
- federalist (1 ; 0.02%) - highly (1 ; 0.02%) - fortress (1 ; 0.02%) - public (1 ; 0.02%)  
- between (1 ; 0.02%) - senators (1 ; 0.02%) - germany (1 ; 0.02%) - leo (1 ; 0.02%)  
- explained (1 ; 0.02%) - love (1 ; 0.02%) - sooner (1 ; 0.02%) - beauty (1 ; 0.02%)  
- bbc (1 ; 0.02%) - nothing (1 ; 0.02%) - wanting (1 ; 0.02%) - reforms (1 ; 0.02%)  
- barrier (1 ; 0.02%) - crack (1 ; 0.02%) - led (1 ; 0.02%) - effort (1 ; 0.02%)  
- negotiations (1 ; 0.02%) - competing (1 ; 0.02%) - misreported (1 ; 0.02%) - 96 (1 ; 0.02%)  
- moral (1 ; 0.02%) - bother (1 ; 0.02%) - name (1 ; 0.02%) - borders (1 ; 0.02%)  
- sitting (1 ; 0.02%) - play (1 ; 0.02%) - outlets (1 ; 0.02%) - takes (1 ; 0.02%)  
- millions (1 ; 0.02%) - eight (1 ; 0.02%) - compass (1 ; 0.02%) - sorts (1 ; 0.02%)  
- final (1 ; 0.02%) - accounts (1 ; 0.02%) - brings (1 ; 0.02%) - five (1 ; 0.02%)  
- uhey (1 ; 0.02%) - minute (1 ; 0.02%) - prague (1 ; 0.02%) - thirty (1 ; 0.02%) - end (1 ; 0.02%)  
- trust (1 ; 0.02%) - difference? (1 ; 0.02%) - reimbursement (1 ; 0.02%) - night (1 ; 0.02%)  
- "i (1 ; 0.02%) - took (1 ; 0.02%) - crazy (1 ; 0.02%) - send (1 ; 0.02%) - begin (1 ; 0.02%)  
- what? (1 ; 0.02%) - approvals (1 ; 0.02%) - hadn (1 ; 0.02%) - forms (1 ; 0.02%)  
- asking (1 ; 0.02%) - passed (1 ; 0.02%) - ought (1 ; 0.02%) - apologize (1 ; 0.02%)  
- cost (1 ; 0.02%) - front (1 ; 0.02%) - wrong (1 ; 0.02%) - mayo (1 ; 0.02%) - set (1 ; 0.02%)  
- doctors (1 ; 0.02%) - themselves (1 ; 0.02%) - align (1 ; 0.02%) - cancer (1 ; 0.02%)  
- terminal (1 ; 0.02%) - toby (1 ; 0.02%) - cosgrove (1 ; 0.02%) - promising (1 ; 0.02%)

- impressed (1 ; 0.02%)      - va (1 ; 0.02%)      - men (1 ; 0.02%)      - ike (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - perlmutter (1 ; 0.02%) - early-stage (1 ; 0.02%) - minor (1 ; 0.02%) - appointed (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - head (1 ; 0.02%) - shulkin (1 ; 0.02%) - security (1 ; 0.02%) - reciprocated (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - pour (1 ; 0.02%) - focused (1 ; 0.02%) - while (1 ; 0.02%) - truly (1 ; 0.02%) - 15 (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - 16 (1 ; 0.02%) - horribly (1 ; 0.02%) - whole (1 ; 0.02%) - commitments (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - made (1 ; 0.02%) - interviewed (1 ; 0.02%) - least (1 ; 0.02%) - priebus (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - firms (1 ; 0.02%) - ordered (1 ; 0.02%) - poor (1 ; 0.02%) - six (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - assembled (1 ; 0.02%) - sample (1 ; 0.02%) - tried (1 ; 0.02%) - unable (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - respected (1 ; 0.02%) - glad (1 ; 0.02%) - course (1 ; 0.02%) - uoh (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - statement (1 ; 0.02%) - indeed (1 ; 0.02%) - computer (1 ; 0.02%) - owe (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - witnesses (1 ; 0.02%) - phony (1 ; 0.02%) - ours (1 ; 0.02%) - confidential (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - unfairly (1 ; 0.02%) - hundred (1 ; 0.02%) - selection (1 ; 0.02%) - sick (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - crap (1 ; 0.02%) - recently (1 ; 0.02%) - extraordinary (1 ; 0.02%) - everything (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - names (1 ; 0.02%) - entered (1 ; 0.02%) - lost (1 ; 0.02%) - 8th (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - november (1 ; 0.02%) - midwest (1 ; 0.02%) - factory (1 ; 0.02%) - built (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - car (1 ; 0.02%) - economic (1 ; 0.02%) - notch (1 ; 0.02%) - active (1 ; 0.02%) - billion-  
 dollar (1 ; 0.02%) - expanding (1 ; 0.02%) - supply (1 ; 0.02%) - extent (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - disastrous (1 ; 0.02%) - motors (1 ; 0.02%) - substantially (1 ; 0.02%) - existing (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - freedom (1 ; 0.02%) - complement (1 ; 0.02%) - inaccurate (1 ; 0.02%) - must (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - nonsense (1 ; 0.02%) - nomination (1 ; 0.02%) - daily (1 ; 0.02%) - familiar (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - territory (1 ; 0.02%) - knows (1 ; 0.02%) - record (1 ; 0.02%) - primarily (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - station (1 ; 0.02%) - against (1 ; 0.02%) - came (1 ; 0.02%) - whom (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - particular (1 ; 0.02%) - procedures (1 ; 0.02%) - lobbies (1 ; 0.02%) - luck (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - haven (1 ; 0.02%) - forward (1 ; 0.02%) - amounts (1 ; 0.02%) - certain (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - producer (1 ; 0.02%) - god (1 ; 0.02%) - event (1 ; 0.02%) - military (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - although (1 ; 0.02%) - scene (1 ; 0.02%) - polls (1 ; 0.02%) - expect (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - elegant (1 ; 0.02%) - special (1 ; 0.02%) - election (1 ; 0.02%) - excited (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - bid (1 ; 0.02%) - period (1 ; 0.02%) - airplane (1 ; 0.02%) - buyer (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - largest (1 ; 0.02%) - lobbyists (1 ; 0.02%) - power (1 ; 0.02%) - schedule (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - budget (1 ; 0.02%) - jack (1 ; 0.02%) - ma (1 ; 0.02%) - plane (1 ; 0.02%) - costs (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - perhaps (1 ; 0.02%) - f-18 (1 ; 0.02%) - broken (1 ; 0.02%) - boss (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - turning (1 ; 0.02%) - control (1 ; 0.02%) - watched (1 ; 0.02%) - signed (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - documents (1 ; 0.02%) - sherri (1 ; 0.02%) - dillon (1 ; 0.02%) - prosecutor (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - alabama (1 ; 0.02%) - find (1 ; 0.02%) - needed (1 ; 0.02%) - exxonmobil (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - ran (1 ; 0.02%) - cabinets (1 ; 0.02%) - happy (1 ; 0.02%) - manner (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - eric (1 ; 0.02%) - audit (1 ; 0.02%) - cares (1 ; 0.02%) - ones (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - releasing (1 ; 0.02%) - yes (1 ; 0.02%) - looks (1 ; 0.02%) - capacity (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - became (1 ; 0.02%) - learn (1 ; 0.02%) - bigger (1 ; 0.02%) - powerful (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - numbers (1 ; 0.02%) - elections (1 ; 0.02%) - return (1 ; 0.02%) - federal (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - substantial (1 ; 0.02%) - oil (1 ; 0.02%) - chuck (1 ; 0.02%) - schumer (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - begging (1 ; 0.02%) - fair (1 ; 0.02%) - wouldn't (1 ; 0.02%) - political (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - standpoint (1 ; 0.02%) - politically (1 ; 0.02%) - easiest (1 ; 0.02%) - essentially (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - hour (1 ; 0.02%) - thereafter (1 ; 0.02%) - shortly (1 ; 0.02%) - implode (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - submitting (1 ; 0.02%) - catastrophic (1 ; 0.02%) - increase (1 ; 0.02%) - losses (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - yearly (1 ; 0.02%) - imbalance (1 ; 0.02%) - speeches (1 ; 0.02%) - supporter (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - harold (1 ; 0.02%) - hamm (1 ; 0.02%) - generally (1 ; 0.02%) - cabinet (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - imploding (1 ; 0.02%) - 100 (1 ; 0.02%) - incorrectly (1 ; 0.02%) - forth (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - interesting (1 ; 0.02%) - asked (1 ; 0.02%) - minutia (1 ; 0.02%) - tangled (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - that? (1 ; 0.02%) - profile (1 ; 0.02%) - say? (1 ; 0.02%) - me? (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - honestly (1 ; 0.02%) - vladimir (1 ; 0.02%) - chance (1 ; 0.02%) - extremely (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - bodyguards (1 ; 0.02%) - small (1 ; 0.02%) - modern (1 ; 0.02%) - strangest (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - referring (1 ; 0.02%) - hotel (1 ; 0.02%) - matter (1 ; 0.02%) - folks? (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - formed (1 ; 0.02%) - debate? (1 ; 0.02%) - biggest (1 ; 0.02%) - history (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - imagine (1 ; 0.02%) - it? (1 ; 0.02%) - clinton (1 ; 0.02%) - debate (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - stories (1 ; 0.02%) - uimmediately (1 ; 0.02%) - tricky (1 ; 0.02%) - void (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - fight (1 ; 0.02%) - relationship (1 ; 0.02%) - race (1 ; 0.02%) - talked (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - technology (1 ; 0.02%) - nightly (1 ; 0.02%) - middle (1 ; 0.02%) - east (1 ; 0.02%)



- **hussain** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **man** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **amazing** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **pending** (1 ; 0.02%)  
- **weekend** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **damac** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **three** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **provision** (1 ; 0.02%)  
- **old** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **interest** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **feeling** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **months** (1 ; 0.02%)  
- **vice** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **current** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **easily** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **wanna** (1 ; 0.02%)  
- **anyone** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **story?** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **area** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **contest** (1 ; 0.02%)  
- **miss** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **universe** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **germaphobe** (1 ; 0.02%)    - **tweeted** (1 ; 0.02%)  
- **point** (1 ; 0.02%) - **certify** (1 ; 0.02%) - **low** (1 ; 0.02%) - **assets** (1 ; 0.02%) - **stayed** (1 ; 0.02%)  
- **estate** (1 ; 0.02%) - **complicated** (1 ; 0.02%) -

## **Obama discours Lima du 20 novembre 2016:**

Good evening, everybody. Let me begin by thanking President Kuczynski and the wonderful people of Peru for hosting us and for their outstanding hospitality. Peru is one of the United States' strongest partners in the Americas -- from standing up for democracy, to promoting jobs and growth, to fighting climate change. And this summit has been a success thanks to the great work of our Peruvian friends. So on behalf of us all, muchas gracias.

This summit, and my trip over the past week, has obviously occurred against a backdrop of the broader debate over globalization and trade. As I've said, over the decades, our global, integrated economy has helped to improve the lives of billions of people around the world with historic gains in prosperity, education, and health. At the same time, when jobs and capital can move across borders, when workers have less leverage, when wealthy corporations and global elites too often seem to be playing by a different set of rules, then workers and communities can be hit especially hard; the gaps between the rich and everyone else grow wider. And that can reverberate through our politics.

That's why I firmly believe that one of our greatest challenges in the years ahead -- across our nations and within them -- will be to make sure that the benefits of the global economy are shared by more people and that the negative impacts, such as economic inequalities, are addressed by all nations. When it comes to trade, I believe that the answer is not to pull back or try to erect barriers to trade. Given our integrated economies and global supply chains, that would hurt us all. But rather the answer is to do trade right, making sure that it has strong labor standards, strong environmental standards, that it addresses ways in which workers and ordinary people can benefit rather than be harmed by global trade. All of this is the central work of APEC.

As this debate moves forward in the United States, it's important to remember how vital the Asia Pacific is to America's prosperity. The 21 Asia Pacific economies here represent nearly three billion people, a majority of the global middle class, six of America's top 10 trading partners, more than half of the global economy, and the world's fastest-growing region. In other words, these 21 countries represent tremendous opportunity for the United States to sell our goods and support U.S. jobs. And that's why, as part of the rebalance of our foreign policy to the Asia Pacific, we've boosted U.S. exports to the region by some 50 percent. Nearly 60 percent of our exports go to the region.

And this is part of broader progress. With 95 percent of the world's customers beyond America's borders, I've made it a priority to open up new markets overseas. And during my administration, we've increased U.S. exports to the world by more than 40 percent -- to record levels -- and these exports support more than 11 million American jobs. Moreover, we know that companies that export tend to grow faster and hire more employees and pay their workers more than companies that do not export. All of which is why exports have helped to drive our economic recovery. It's one of the reasons that U.S. businesses have created more than 15 million new jobs.

So that's the kind of progress that trade -- when done right -- can deliver. And that's the kind of work that we've tried to do here at this summit. We're continuing our work to make it easier to do business between our countries so we're creating even more jobs. In the United States, we're simplifying the process of starting a new business, increasing access to credit, all of which will help more ventures -- especially small businesses -- get up and running, and helping them to be able to export as well, so that they can access a global market even if they can't afford fancy lawyers and accountants and foreign offices.

We agreed to increase our collective effort against corruption by targeting the bribery that enriches elites at the expense of people. And we committed to making it easier to trade in services as well as goods.

We also discussed the excess capacity that exists in certain sectors, like steel and aluminum that distorts markets and hurts business and workers, including American workers. And even as I've argued that we cannot engage in protectionist measures, my administration has been at the forefront of really cracking down hard on unfair trade practices and brought, consistently, cases against the -- cases under the WTO against those who are engaging in unfair trading practices, and we've had a great track record of trade enforcement that has to be a part of this process.

I've been very clear that excess capacity is not the result of market forces; it's the result of specific government policies, and it needs to be fixed. And here at APEC, we've been taking steps, as we were at the G20 in Hangzhou, to start addressing these issues in a systematic way.

With regard to the digital economy, we endorsed rules to protect the privacy of personal information as it crosses borders. We discussed the importance of maintaining the current moratorium on customs duties for digital goods and innovation. And given growing cyber threats, our 21 APEC economies affirmed that no one should conduct or support cyber-enabled theft of intellectual property,

including trade secrets, with the intent to providing a competitive advantage to companies or commercial sectors.

We're also moving ahead with making our economies more inclusive. And one particular area of focus is making sure that women have fair access to economic growth -- expanding education; expanding access to careers in science, technology, engineering and math; helping more women entrepreneurs to access finance and integrate their businesses into the global supply chain. According to one study, if women around the world participated in the labor force, it could add up to \$28 trillion of additional output for the global economy -- \$28 trillion. When women are more prosperous, then families, communities and countries are all more prosperous as well.

My meeting yesterday with my fellow leaders of the Trans-Pacific Partnership was a chance to reaffirm our commitment to the TPP, with its high standards, strong protections for workers, the environment, intellectual property and human rights. Our partners made very clear during the meeting that they want to move forward with TPP; preferably, they'd like to move forward with the United States. A number of countries already are starting to ratify TPP.

At the same time, we're already hearing calls for a less ambitious trade agreement in the region with lower standards, lower protections for workers, lower protections for the environment. That kind of agreement would obviously exclude U.S. workers and businesses and access to those markets. So for all those reasons, I believe that TPP is a plus for America's economy, America's workers, American jobs. I think not moving forward would undermine our position across the region and our ability to shape the rules of global trade in a way that reflects our interests and our values.

Finally, our cooperation with APEC has been critical to our historic progress in fighting climate change -- bringing the Paris agreement into force, agreeing to limit aviation emissions, phasing out dangerous HFCs. Here in Lima, we continue our work to phase out fossil fuel subsidies, and countries made new commitments toward our goal of doubling our renewable energy over the next two decades.

So, as I wrap up my last summit and likely my last foreign trip as President, I could not be more proud of the progress that we've made together. Obviously, the work is never done. And given the prosperity and security we seek for not only the United States but our allies and our partners, I continue to believe that America will have a vital role to play in creating and sustaining a strong, enduring leadership role in the Asia Pacific.

So, with that, let me take some questions. And I'll start with Darlene Superville of AP.

Well, what I have said to world leaders is the same thing that I've said in a number of press conferences, which is the President-elect now has to put together a team and put forward specifics about how he intends to govern. And he hasn't had a full opportunity to do that yet. And so people should take a wait-and-see approach in how much his policy proposals once in the White House, once he is sworn in, matches up with some of the rhetoric of his campaign.

My simple point is, is that you can't assume that the language of campaigning matches up with the specifics of governing, legislation, regulations, and foreign policy.

I can't be sure of anything. I think, like everyone else, we'll have to wait and see. But as I've said before, once you're in the Oval Office, once you begin interacting with world leaders, once you see the complexities of the issues, that has a way of shaping your thinking and, in some cases, modifying your thinking, because you recognize this solemn responsibility not only to the American people but the solemn responsibility that America has as the largest, most powerful country in the world.

And I can't guarantee that the President-elect won't pursue some of the positions that he's taken. But what I can guarantee is, is that reality will force him to adjust how he approaches many of these issues. That's just the way this office works.

And I've said before, if these issues were easy -- if ensuring prosperity, jobs, security, good foreign relations with other countries -- if all that was simple, then it would have been done by every previous President. And I'm a pretty good presidential historian; I've looked at my 43 predecessors, and it seems like for all of them -- even the best ones -- that you end up confronting realities that you didn't anticipate. I think the same will happen here. And that's a good thing. That's an important thing.

With respect to President Putin, I didn't have a meeting. We talked briefly while we were in between sessions. And the conversation that I had with him was consistent with the conversations I've had with him over the previous several months, indicating to him that we are still deeply concerned about the bloodshed and chaos that's being sown by constant bombing attacks by Assad and the Russian military against populations in Aleppo, and the need for us to arrive first at some sort of humanitarian ceasefire and begin moving towards a political transition of some sort.

And I talked to him about Ukraine and the need for us to get Minsk done. I urged him to instruct his negotiators to work with ourselves, with France, with Germany, with Ukraine to see if we can get that done before my term is up. As usual, it was a candid and courteous meeting, but very clear about the strong differences that we have on policy.

The issue of the elections did not come up because that's behind us and I was focused in this brief discussion on moving forward. I had already made very clear to him our concerns around cyberattacks, generally, as well as specific concerns we had surrounding the DNC hack.

I don't think this will be the norm, but as I've said before, the concern I have has less to do with any particular misinformation or propaganda that's being put out by any particular party, and a greater concern about the general misinformation from all kinds of sources -- domestic, foreign, on social media -- that make it very difficult for voters to figure out what's true and what's not. And let me put it this way. I think if we have a strong, accurate and responsible press, and we have a strong, civic culture and an engaged citizenry, then various attempts to meddle in our elections won't mean much.

If, generally, we've got elections that aren't focused on issues and are full of fake news and false information and distractions, then the issue is not going to be what's happening from the outside; the issue is going to be what are we doing for ourselves from the inside. The good news is that's something that we have control over.

That was a rhetorical question, that first one. (Laughter.) Rather than comment on hypotheticals, let me say specifically what I did. Obviously, my assets were significantly smaller than some other Presidents or President-elects. But we made a decision to liquidate assets that might raise questions about how it would influence policy.

I basically had our accountant put all our money in Treasury bills -- the yields, by the way, have not been massive over the course of the last eight years -- (laughter) -- just because it simplified my life. I did not have to worry about the complexities of whether a decision that I made might even inadvertently benefit me.

And that's consistent with the broader approach that we've taken throughout my administration, which is to not just meet the letter of the law, but to go well beyond the letter to the spirit of the law -- not just for me, but for the people in the White House and in our leadership positions.

We have established a whole set of rules, norms, playbooks that just keep us far away from the line. Early on in the administration, there would be questions about could a staff person go to this conference, or what should they do about this gift that was provided. And I think it was maybe our first general counsel who was responsible for setting up our guidelines and rules inside the White House that said, if it sounds like it would be fun, then you can't do it. (Laughter.) That's a general test. If it sounds like something you would enjoy or appreciate -- no go.

And as a consequence -- and I'll knock on some wood here, because we got two months left -- I am extremely proud of the fact that over eight years we have not had the kinds of scandals that have plagued other administrations. And when I met with the President-elect, I suggested to him that having a strong White House Counsel that could provide clear guideposts and rules would benefit him and benefit his team because it would eliminate a lot of ambiguity. And I think it will be up to him to make determinations about how he wants to approach it.

I know what worked for us, and I think it served the American people well. And because I had made a promise to the American people that I would not fall into some of the familiar habits of Washington, that I wanted a new kind of politics, this was one indicator. And at the end of eight years, I think I can say to the American people I delivered on that commitment.

With respect to cyber, the NSA, Admiral Rogers is a terrific patriot and has served this country well in a number of positions. I generally don't comment on personnel matters here. I can say generally that we've spent a lot of time over the last several years looking at how we can organize our cyber efforts to keep pace with how rapidly the environment is changing.

Increasingly, our critical infrastructure, government data, financial systems are vulnerable to attack. And both state and non-state actors are getting better and better at it, and it is becoming more and more rapid. And it is inevitable that we're doing to have to modernize and update not just the tools we use to defend those assets and the American people, but also how we organize it. And it is true that we are exploring a range of options in terms of how we organize the mission that currently exists.

Well, no, I'm not worried about being the last Democratic President. I think -- not even for a while. And I say that, not being cute. The Democratic nominee won the popular vote, and obviously this was an extremely competitive race and I would expect that future races will be competitive as well. I certainly think it's true that politics in America right now are a little up for grabs, that some of the old alignments

within both parties -- Democrat and Republican -- are being reshaped. And although the results of this election involved some of the specifics of the candidates and aren't going to be duplicated in every subsequent election, Democrats do have to do some thinking about how do we make sure that the message we have is received effectively and results in winning elections.

This is something that I've been wrestling with throughout my presidency. When you look at the proposals I put forward, they garner majority support. The majority believes in raising the minimum wage. The majority believes in common-sense gun safety rules. The majority believes in investing to rebuild our infrastructure and create jobs. The majority believes in making sure that people aren't going bankrupt when they get sick. The majority agrees with all the individual components of Obamacare.

I think there was a Gallup Poll this week, subsequent to the election, that showed that the general public has a more favorable view of Democrats than Republicans. And as I noted, my approval ratings are quite high. And yet what's been true during the course of my eight years is that does not always translate; in fact, too often it hasn't translated into working majorities either at the state level or at the federal level.

Now, some of that is just the nature of our system, and geography. As long as Wyoming gets the same number of senators as California, there's going to be some tilt towards Republicans when it comes to congressional races. The fact that a lot of Democratic voters are bunched up in big cities, and a lot of Republican voters are spread out across geography gives them an advantage when it comes to congressional races.

Some of it is just political bad luck. For example, I came in as an economy was in free fall, and although we took the right steps to save the economy, in my midterm election in 2010, people couldn't yet see the recovery and, not surprisingly, the President's party got punished. We lost control of a lot of not just congressional seats but also gubernatorial seats and state legislative seats. And that happened to be the year that the Census is done and you start doing redistricting, and those Republicans took advantage of political gerrymandering to lock in majorities, even though in numerous subsequent elections, Democrats have actually cast more votes -- or more votes have been cast for Democratic congressional candidates than Republican. And yet you end up having large Republican majorities.

So there are just some structural problems that we have to deal with. But, look, you can't make excuses about the rules. That's the deal, and we got to do better. And I



think doing better, as I said, involves us working at the grassroots; not ceding territory; going out into areas where right now we may not stand a chance of actually winning but we're building up a cadre of young talent; we're making arguments; we're persuading; we're talking about the things that matter to ordinary people, day to day, and trying to avoid some of the constant distractions that fill up people's Twitter accounts.

And if we do that, then I'm confident that we'll be back on track. I don't think that there has to be a complete overhaul here. I think that there does have to be better organization, a smarter message. And one message I do have for Democrats is that a strategy that's just micro-targeting particular, discrete groups in a Democratic coalition sometimes will win you elections, but it's not going to win you the broad mandate that you need. And ultimately, the more we can talk about what we have in common as a nation, and speak to a broad set of values, a vision that speaks to everybody and not just one group at a time, the better off we're going to be.

I think that's part of the reason why I was able to get elected twice, is that I always tried to make sure that, not only in proposals but also in message, that I was speaking to everybody.

You had a second part to your question?

Ah. Look, I've said before, President Bush could not have been more gracious to me when I came in. And my intention is to, certainly for the next two months, is finish my job. And then, after that, to take Michelle on vacation, get some rest, spend time with my girls, and do some writing, do some thinking. So I want to be respectful of the office and give the President-elect an opportunity to put forward his platform and his arguments without somebody popping off in every instance.

As an American citizen who cares deeply about our country, if there are issues that have less to do with the specifics of some legislative proposal or battle, but go to core questions about our values and our ideals, and if I think that it's necessary or helpful for me to defend those ideals, then I'll examine it when it comes. But what I do know is, is that I have to take Michelle on vacation. (Laughter.)

Well, on the second question, these are the same Republicans who suggested that they didn't need to confirm a Supreme Court justice when I was nine months out until the next election. I think their general approach seems to be that probably two days after my reelection, I should stop until the next election. I don't think that that's what the Constitution calls for.

The regulations that we have issued are ones that we've been working on for a very long time. They've been subject to extensive public notice and comment, and everybody has known they've been out there. These aren't things that we've been surprising people with. They're well-considered, they're the right thing to do. They're part of my task of finishing my work.

And I recognize that when the new administration comes in, and a new Congress comes in, that they will have the option of trying to undo some of those rules and regulations that we've put in place. And that's their prerogative. That's part of how democracy works. But I feel very strongly these are the right things to do, and I'm going to make sure I do them.

With respect to Syria, as I said I think even on this trip in a previous press conference, I am not optimistic about the short-term prospects in Syria. Once Russia and Iran made a decision to back Assad in a brutal air campaign and essentially a pacification of Aleppo, regardless of the potential for civilian casualties, children being killed or wounded, schools or hospitals being destroyed, then it was very hard to see a way in which even a trained and committed moderate opposition could hold its ground for long periods of time.

And the issue that obviously I've wrestled with for the last five years -- how involved should the United States be? What are our legal constraints in such involvement? What are our moral obligations? What are our strategic interests? Those haven't changed. I continue to believe that we did not have a legal basis for military intervention there; that it would have been a strategic mistake given the work we still had to do in Iraq, the counter-ISIL campaign, ongoing operations in Afghanistan; that we had worked tirelessly to arrive at a political transition of some sort that could alleviate the suffering and provide humanitarian access. And we will continue to do that work all the way until the last day that me and John Kerry and others have the authority to speak for the United States government.

But ultimately, it takes two -- or in this case, four, or six, or eight -- to tango. And we're just not getting help or interest from those parties that are supporting Assad, and Assad, as a consequence, has been emboldened. Look, this is a man who has decided that destroying his country, turning it to rubble, and seeing its population scattered or killed was worth it for him to cling to power, when he had the option to peacefully engage in a transition that could have kept the country intact. That's his mentality. That's not a mentality we support. That's a mentality that the Russians and the Iranians have been willing to support. But at this stage, we're going to need

to have a change in how all parties think about this in order for us to end the situation there.

Now, our ability to go after ISIL I think can be sustained. There's no doubt that there will continue to be extremist forces in and around Syria because it's still going to be in chaos for quite some time. There will be elements in Iraq, just as there have been elements in Afghanistan even after the Taliban we're swept out, even after we killed bin Laden. But I think we can effectively reduce the risk and take their key external operators off the field.

The thing I'm probably most concerned about is making sure that even as we do that, U.S. policy, U.S. statements, U.S. positions don't further radicalize Muslims around the world, or alienate and potentially law-abiding Muslims who are living in Europe or the United States. And that's why I think it's important for us to understand those are our key allies in this fight, not enemies.

I'll work in reverse. I think Nancy Pelosi is an outstanding and historic political leader. So much of what we accomplished was accomplished because of her smarts, her tenacity, her legislative skill. And I don't normally meddle with party votes, and certainly on my way out the door, probably I shouldn't meddle here. But I cannot speak highly enough of Nancy Pelosi. She combines strong, progressive values with just extraordinary political skill. And she does stuff that's tough, not just stuff that's easy. She's done stuff that's unpopular in her own base because it's the right thing to do for the American people. I think she's a remarkable leader.

With respect to Democrats and Republicans and how Democrats should deal with a new administration, I think you give them a hearing. I certainly don't want them to do what Mitch McConnell did when I was elected -- meet the day of and say our sole objective is to not cooperate with him on anything even if the country is about to go into a depression, so that we can gain seats in the midterms and ultimately defeat him.

That's not why the American people send us to Washington, to play those games. So that's not my advice to Democrats. My advice to Democrats is know what you care about and what you stand for, and fight for your principles even if it's a hard fight. If there are areas where the new administration is doing something that's going to be good for the American people, find a way to work with him. If you think it's going to be a problem, then say so, and make the argument.

The touchstone is, what's good for the American people. And that's worked for me. That means that at the end of the day -- and at the end of eight years -- I can look back and I can say that I consistently did what I thought was best. It doesn't mean you don't make mistakes, but it means that you're being true to your oath and the commitments you made to the people who elected you.

And in terms of reflecting on the U.S. presidency as I've been traveling, I think the main reflection I have and the main advice that I give to the incoming President is the United States really is an indispensable nation in our world order. And I say that as somebody who has gone out of his way to express respect for every country and its people, and to consistently acknowledge that many of the challenges that we face are not challenges that America can solve on its own. But what I also know is that the basic framework of the world order coming out of World War II and then on through the end of the Cold War was shaped by a set of ideals and principles that have worked for the vast majority of people -- not just America, but around the world. The notion of democracy and rule of law, and a free press and independent judiciary, and open markets, and a social welfare state to moderate some of the sharp edges of capitalism, and lifting up issues of human rights, and investing in public health and development not just within our own borders but elsewhere in the world. And working with multilateral institutions, like the United Nations; making sure that were upholding international norms and rules.

That's what's made the modern world. And there have been times where we, ourselves, have not observed some of these norms as well as we should, and have been accused of hypocrisy. Here in Latin America, there have been times where countries felt disrespected and, on occasion, had cause for that. There are times where we haven't observed these values in our own country and have fallen short of our ideals. But that basic structure is the reason why the world is much wealthier, much more secure, and, yes, less violent, healthier, better educated, more tolerant than it was 50 years ago.

And that requires constant work. It doesn't just happen on its own. I've said this in Europe. I've said this in places where there's this pushback against this modern order. But you take an example like Europe -- before that order was imposed, we had two world wars in the span of 30 years. In the second one, 60 million people were killed. Not half a million, not a million, but 60 million. Entire continents in rubble.

In places like the Asia Pacific, before that order existed, you routinely saw famines of millions of people -- not just concerns about low wages, but people dying because

they didn't have any food or drinking water, or died of cholera or simple diseases -- if somebody had some penicillin.

And so what I would say would be that we all share responsibilities for improving that order and maintaining it, and making sure it's more inclusive, and delivers greater hope and prosperity for more corners of the world. We all have responsibilities -- every nation -- in respecting the dignity and worth of their citizens. And America can't do it all for everybody else. There are limits to our reach into other countries if they're determined to oppress their people, or not provide girls education, or siphon off development funds into Swiss bank accounts because they're corrupt. We're not going to be able to handle every problem.

But the American President and the United States of America -- if we're not on the side of what's right, if we're not making the argument and fighting for it, even if sometimes we're not able to deliver at 100 percent everywhere -- then it collapses. And there's nobody to fill the void. There really isn't. There are other very important countries -- like a China -- where if it weren't for China's cooperation, we couldn't have gotten the Paris agreement done. But China is not the one who was going around organizing 200 nations to sign on to a Paris agreement, or putting together the paper and the policy outlines and the conceptual framework.

Russia is a very significant military power, but they're not worrying right now about how to rebuild after a hurricane in Haiti. We are.

And I've said before, that's a burden that we should carry proudly. And I would hope that not just the 45th President of the United States, but every President of the United States understands that that's not only a burden, but it's also an extraordinary privilege. And if you have a chance to do that right, then you should seize it.

All right? Thank you, everybody.

(Source: <https://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2016/11/20/press-conference-president-obama-lima-peru> )

### Étude sur <http://calculis.net/compteur-de-mots>:

Il y a **5280** mots dont **1354** mots distincts dans votre texte de 31205 caractères dont 6153 espaces.

#### Occurrence des mots dans le texte.

Les mots de 1 lettres ne sont pas pris en compte. Les mots les plus fréquents sont :

**the** (269 ; 5.09%) - **and** (218 ; 4.13%) - **to** (184 ; 3.48%) - **that** (179 ; 3.39%) - **of** (139 ; 2.63%)  
- **in** (96 ; 1.82%) - **we** (92 ; 1.74%) - **it** (64 ; 1.21%) - **is** (55 ; 1.04%) - **not** (54 ; 1.02%)  
- **for** (54 ; 1.02%) - **our** (53 ; 1%) - **have** (51 ; 0.97%) - **--** (46 ; 0.87%) - **with** (45 ; 0.85%)  
- **as** (37 ; 0.7%) - **but** (36 ; 0.68%) - **are** (34 ; 0.64%) - **be** (33 ; 0.63%) - **ve** (31 ; 0.59%)

- you (30 ; 0.57%) - this (30 ; 0.57%) - think (29 ; 0.55%) - people (29 ; 0.55%) - do (28 ; 0.53%)  
 - some (28 ; 0.53%) - on (27 ; 0.51%) - what (26 ; 0.49%) - my (26 ; 0.49%) - re (26 ; 0.49%)  
 - or (26 ; 0.49%) - can (25 ; 0.47%) - was (25 ; 0.47%) - more (25 ; 0.47%) - if (24 ; 0.45%)  
 - about (24 ; 0.45%) - been (23 ; 0.44%) - there (23 ; 0.44%) - at (22 ; 0.42%) - just (21 ; 0.4%)  
 - all (19 ; 0.36%) - world (18 ; 0.34%) - they (18 ; 0.34%) - has (18 ; 0.34%) - up (18 ; 0.34%)  
 - when (17 ; 0.32%) - would (16 ; 0.3%) - had (16 ; 0.3%) - how (16 ; 0.3%) - even (15 ; 0.28%)  
 - going (15 ; 0.28%) - so (15 ; 0.28%) - united (14 ; 0.27%) - by (14 ; 0.27%) - him (14 ; 0.27%)  
 - then (14 ; 0.27%) - american (14 ; 0.27%) - america (13 ; 0.25%) - will (13 ; 0.25%)  
 - said (13 ; 0.25%) - work (13 ; 0.25%) - states (13 ; 0.25%) - trade (12 ; 0.23%)  
 - one (12 ; 0.23%) - well (12 ; 0.23%) - out (12 ; 0.23%) - us (12 ; 0.23%) - global (11 ; 0.21%)  
 - an (11 ; 0.21%) - made (11 ; 0.21%) - those (11 ; 0.21%) - because (11 ; 0.21%)  
 - than (11 ; 0.21%) - right (11 ; 0.21%) - sure (11 ; 0.21%) - president (11 ; 0.21%)  
 - way (11 ; 0.21%) - make (10 ; 0.19%) - workers (10 ; 0.19%) - years (10 ; 0.19%)  
 - here (10 ; 0.19%) - very (10 ; 0.19%) - me (10 ; 0.19%) - like (10 ; 0.19%) - rules (10 ; 0.19%)  
 - these (10 ; 0.19%) - making (10 ; 0.19%) - jobs (9 ; 0.17%) - should (9 ; 0.17%)  
 - strong (9 ; 0.17%) - say (9 ; 0.17%) - who (9 ; 0.17%) - countries (9 ; 0.17%) - new (9 ; 0.17%)  
 - over (9 ; 0.17%) - his (9 ; 0.17%) - done (8 ; 0.15%) - their (8 ; 0.15%) - being (8 ; 0.15%)  
 - country (8 ; 0.15%) - don (8 ; 0.15%) - could (8 ; 0.15%) - into (8 ; 0.15%) - put (8 ; 0.15%)  
 - before (8 ; 0.15%) - democrats (8 ; 0.15%) - economy (8 ; 0.15%) - majority (8 ; 0.15%)  
 - time (8 ; 0.15%) - forward (8 ; 0.15%) - he (7 ; 0.13%) - also (7 ; 0.13%) - good (7 ; 0.13%)  
 - them (7 ; 0.13%) - why (7 ; 0.13%) - every (7 ; 0.13%) - better (7 ; 0.13%) - order (7 ; 0.13%)  
 - last (7 ; 0.13%) - where (7 ; 0.13%) - end (7 ; 0.13%) - issues (7 ; 0.13%) - go (7 ; 0.13%)  
 - administration (7 ; 0.13%) - part (7 ; 0.13%) - access (7 ; 0.13%) - policy (7 ; 0.13%)  
 - around (7 ; 0.13%) - did (6 ; 0.11%) - comes (6 ; 0.11%) - elections (6 ; 0.11%)  
 - against (6 ; 0.11%) - political (6 ; 0.11%) - once (6 ; 0.11%) - obviously (6 ; 0.11%)  
 - million (6 ; 0.11%) - eight (6 ; 0.11%) - same (6 ; 0.11%) - election (6 ; 0.11%)  
 - other (6 ; 0.11%) - support (6 ; 0.11%) - everybody (6 ; 0.11%) - foreign (6 ; 0.11%)  
 - which (6 ; 0.11%) - get (6 ; 0.11%) - two (6 ; 0.11%) - were (6 ; 0.11%) - from (6 ; 0.11%)  
 - after (6 ; 0.11%) - take (6 ; 0.11%) - ll (6 ; 0.11%) - its (6 ; 0.11%) - thing (6 ; 0.11%)  
 - now (6 ; 0.11%) - agreement (5 ; 0.09%) - prosperity (5 ; 0.09%) - region (5 ; 0.09%)  
 - lot (5 ; 0.09%) - asia (5 ; 0.09%) - off (5 ; 0.09%) - less (5 ; 0.09%) - values (5 ; 0.09%)  
 - pacific (5 ; 0.09%) - own (5 ; 0.09%) - democratic (5 ; 0.09%) - clear (5 ; 0.09%)  
 - see (5 ; 0.09%) - true (5 ; 0.09%) - much (5 ; 0.09%) - your (5 ; 0.09%) - continue (5 ; 0.09%)  
 - percent (5 ; 0.09%) - general (5 ; 0.09%) - day (5 ; 0.09%) - know (5 ; 0.09%)  
 - exports (5 ; 0.09%) - republicans (5 ; 0.09%) - believe (5 ; 0.09%) - need (5 ; 0.09%)  
 - doing (5 ; 0.09%) - look (5 ; 0.09%) - respect (5 ; 0.09%) - markets (4 ; 0.08%) - president-  
 elect (4 ; 0.08%) - seats (4 ; 0.08%) - set (4 ; 0.08%) - killed (4 ; 0.08%) - positions (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - specifics (4 ; 0.08%) - no (4 ; 0.08%) - something (4 ; 0.08%) - given (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - worked (4 ; 0.08%) - economies (4 ; 0.08%) - she (4 ; 0.08%) - progress (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - back (4 ; 0.08%) - press (4 ; 0.08%) - hard (4 ; 0.08%) - number (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - generally (4 ; 0.08%) - kind (4 ; 0.08%) - congressional (4 ; 0.08%) - businesses (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - months (4 ; 0.08%) - able (4 ; 0.08%) - ideals (4 ; 0.08%) - house (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - white (4 ; 0.08%) - moving (4 ; 0.08%) - thinking (4 ; 0.08%) - state (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - women (4 ; 0.08%) - only (4 ; 0.08%) - standards (4 ; 0.08%) - yet (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - approach (4 ; 0.08%) - believes (4 ; 0.08%) - particular (4 ; 0.08%) - issue (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - questions (4 ; 0.08%) - republican (4 ; 0.08%) - her (4 ; 0.08%) - across (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - next (4 ; 0.08%) - assad (4 ; 0.08%) - got (4 ; 0.08%) - summit (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - borders (4 ; 0.08%) - aren (4 ; 0.08%) - didn (4 ; 0.08%) - benefit (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - meeting (4 ; 0.08%) - nations (4 ; 0.08%) - apec (4 ; 0.08%) - important (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - tpp (4 ; 0.08%) - let (4 ; 0.08%) - partners (4 ; 0.08%) - message (4 ; 0.08%)  
 - certainly (4 ; 0.08%) - working (4 ; 0.08%) - proposals (3 ; 0.06%) - concerns (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - give (3 ; 0.06%) - advice (3 ; 0.06%) - together (3 ; 0.06%) - between (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - paris (3 ; 0.06%) - organize (3 ; 0.06%) - trip (3 ; 0.06%) - mentality (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - democracy (3 ; 0.06%) - else (3 ; 0.06%) - provide (3 ; 0.06%) - lower (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - party (3 ; 0.06%) - still (3 ; 0.06%) - times (3 ; 0.06%) - economic (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - start (3 ; 0.06%) - consistently (3 ; 0.06%) - ultimately (3 ; 0.06%) - within (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - voters (3 ; 0.06%) - law (3 ; 0.06%) - does (3 ; 0.06%) - cyber (3 ; 0.06%)

- majorities (3 ; 0.06%)    - change (3 ; 0.06%)    - cases (3 ; 0.06%)    - really (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - any (3 ; 0.06%)    - somebody (3 ; 0.06%)    - challenges (3 ; 0.06%)    - speak (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - government (3 ; 0.06%)    - military (3 ; 0.06%)    - nation (3 ; 0.06%)    - fighting (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - things (3 ; 0.06%)    - norms (3 ; 0.06%)    - advantage (3 ; 0.06%)    - goods (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - want (3 ; 0.06%)    - races (3 ; 0.06%)    - opportunity (3 ; 0.06%)    - education (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - second (3 ; 0.06%)    - syria (3 ; 0.06%)    - fact (3 ; 0.06%)    - ourselves (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - meddle (3 ; 0.06%)    - assets (3 ; 0.06%)    - 60 (3 ; 0.06%)    - elected (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - campaign (3 ; 0.06%)    - fight (3 ; 0.06%)    - environment (3 ; 0.06%)    - protections (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - chance (3 ; 0.06%)    - sort (3 ; 0.06%)    - first (3 ; 0.06%)    - long (3 ; 0.06%)    - leaders (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - subsequent (3 ; 0.06%)    - transition (3 ; 0.06%)    - rather (3 ; 0.06%)    - 21 (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - parties (3 ; 0.06%)    - previous (3 ; 0.06%)    - comment (3 ; 0.06%)    - move (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - force (3 ; 0.06%)    - (laughter (3 ; 0.06%)    - votes (3 ; 0.06%)    - historic (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - legislative (3 ; 0.06%)    - export (3 ; 0.06%)    - business (3 ; 0.06%)    - competitive (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - broader (3 ; 0.06%)    - public (3 ; 0.06%)    - office (3 ; 0.06%)    - politics (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - begin (3 ; 0.06%)    - decision (3 ; 0.06%)    - until (3 ; 0.06%)    - companies (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - stuff (3 ; 0.06%)    - europe (3 ; 0.06%)    - already (3 ; 0.06%)    - won (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - during (3 ; 0.06%)    - deal (3 ; 0.06%)    - simple (3 ; 0.06%)    - regulations (3 ; 0.06%)  
 - china (3 ; 0.06%)    - probably (3 ; 0.06%)    - constant (3 ; 0.06%)    - calls (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - critical (2 ; 0.04%)    - chaos (2 ; 0.04%)    - distractions (2 ; 0.04%)    - key (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - commitment (2 ; 0.04%)    - geography (2 ; 0.04%)    - hearing (2 ; 0.04%)    - rights (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - deeply (2 ; 0.04%)    - kinds (2 ; 0.04%)    - michelle (2 ; 0.04%)    - ability (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - muslims (2 ; 0.04%)    - vacation (2 ; 0.04%)    - human (2 ; 0.04%)    - counsel (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - high (2 ; 0.04%)    - cooperation (2 ; 0.04%)    - conference (2 ; 0.04%)    - sounds (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - concerned (2 ; 0.04%)    - greater (2 ; 0.04%)    - full (2 ; 0.04%)    - many (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - while (2 ; 0.04%)    - taken (2 ; 0.04%)    - control (2 ; 0.04%)    - nancy (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - strategic (2 ; 0.04%)    - talked (2 ; 0.04%)    - guarantee (2 ; 0.04%)    - option (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - recognize (2 ; 0.04%)    - consistent (2 ; 0.04%)    - solemn (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - responsibility (2 ; 0.04%)    - inside (2 ; 0.04%)    - most (2 ; 0.04%)    - works (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - mean (2 ; 0.04%)    - humanitarian (2 ; 0.04%)    - moderate (2 ; 0.04%)    - haven (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - ukraine (2 ; 0.04%)    - towards (2 ; 0.04%)    - happen (2 ; 0.04%)    - ones (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - best (2 ; 0.04%)    - arrive (2 ; 0.04%)    - easy (2 ; 0.04%)    - afghanistan (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - iraq (2 ; 0.04%)    - seems (2 ; 0.04%)    - question (2 ; 0.04%)    - complexities (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - responsible (2 ; 0.04%)    - throughout (2 ; 0.04%)    - proud (2 ; 0.04%)    - security (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - allies (2 ; 0.04%)    - play (2 ; 0.04%)    - role (2 ; 0.04%)    - meet (2 ; 0.04%)    - letter (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - keep (2 ; 0.04%)    - legal (2 ; 0.04%)    - elements (2 ; 0.04%)    - commitments (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - misinformation (2 ; 0.04%)    - social (2 ; 0.04%)    - leadership (2 ; 0.04%)    - power (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - matches (2 ; 0.04%)    - rubble (2 ; 0.04%)    - course (2 ; 0.04%)    - anything (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - might (2 ; 0.04%)    - focused (2 ; 0.04%)    - several (2 ; 0.04%)    - aleppo (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - worth (2 ; 0.04%)    - concern (2 ; 0.04%)    - russia (2 ; 0.04%)    - team (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - hasn (2 ; 0.04%)    - news (2 ; 0.04%)    - girls (2 ; 0.04%)    - forces (2 ; 0.04%)    - took (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - help (2 ; 0.04%)    - starting (2 ; 0.04%)    - observed (2 ; 0.04%)    - helping (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - often (2 ; 0.04%)    - modern (2 ; 0.04%)    - market (2 ; 0.04%)    - process (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - communities (2 ; 0.04%)    - creating (2 ; 0.04%)    - everyone (2 ; 0.04%)    - easier (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - stand (2 ; 0.04%)    - rich (2 ; 0.04%)    - rebuild (2 ; 0.04%)    - especially (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - investing (2 ; 0.04%)    - arguments (2 ; 0.04%)    - getting (2 ; 0.04%)    - capacity (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - excess (2 ; 0.04%)    - discussed (2 ; 0.04%)    - exists (2 ; 0.04%)    - infrastructure (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - accounts (2 ; 0.04%)    - health (2 ; 0.04%)    - fill (2 ; 0.04%)    - example (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - committed (2 ; 0.04%)    - both (2 ; 0.04%)    - too (2 ; 0.04%)    - development (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - elites (2 ; 0.04%)    - free (2 ; 0.04%)    - trying (2 ; 0.04%)    - came (2 ; 0.04%)    - grow (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - tried (2 ; 0.04%)    - responsibilities (2 ; 0.04%)    - supply (2 ; 0.04%)    - six (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - trading (2 ; 0.04%)    - half (2 ; 0.04%)    - hope (2 ; 0.04%)    - winning (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - effectively (2 ; 0.04%)    - labor (2 ; 0.04%)    - although (2 ; 0.04%)    - ordinary (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - involved (2 ; 0.04%)    - candidates (2 ; 0.04%)    - results (2 ; 0.04%)    - vital (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - nearly (2 ; 0.04%)    - represent (2 ; 0.04%)    - actually (2 ; 0.04%)    - 50 (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - couldn (2 ; 0.04%)    - terms (2 ; 0.04%)    - through (2 ; 0.04%)    - areas (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - recovery (2 ; 0.04%)    - deliver (2 ; 0.04%)    - defend (2 ; 0.04%)    - reasons (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - record (2 ; 0.04%)    - ahead (2 ; 0.04%)    - beyond (2 ; 0.04%)    - cast (2 ; 0.04%)

- answer (2 ; 0.04%)    - presidency (2 ; 0.04%)    - places (2 ; 0.04%)    - such (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - open (2 ; 0.04%)    - including (2 ; 0.04%)    - sectors (2 ; 0.04%)    - served (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - fall (2 ; 0.04%)    - maintaining (2 ; 0.04%)    - great (2 ; 0.04%)    - climate (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - having (2 ; 0.04%)    - property (2 ; 0.04%)    - intellectual (2 ; 0.04%)    - always (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - principles (2 ; 0.04%)    - quite (2 ; 0.04%)    - digital (2 ; 0.04%)    - means (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - washington (2 ; 0.04%)    - war (2 ; 0.04%)    - information (2 ; 0.04%)    - problem (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - suggested (2 ; 0.04%)    - inclusive (2 ; 0.04%)    - accomplished (2 ; 0.04%)    - trillion (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - 28 (2 ; 0.04%)    - outstanding (2 ; 0.04%)    - leader (2 ; 0.04%)    - pelosi (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - level (2 ; 0.04%)    - prosperous (2 ; 0.04%)    - consequence (2 ; 0.04%)    - burden (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - extraordinary (2 ; 0.04%)    - expanding (2 ; 0.04%)    - reason (2 ; 0.04%)    - growth (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - extremely (2 ; 0.04%)    - skill (2 ; 0.04%)    - am (2 ; 0.04%)    - doesn (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - argument (2 ; 0.04%)    - track (2 ; 0.04%)    - integrated (2 ; 0.04%)    - decades (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - result (2 ; 0.04%)    - week (2 ; 0.04%)    - specific (2 ; 0.04%)    - helped (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - practices (2 ; 0.04%)    - engage (2 ; 0.04%)    - cannot (2 ; 0.04%)    - framework (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - basic (2 ; 0.04%)    - unfair (2 ; 0.04%)    - main (2 ; 0.04%)    - peru (2 ; 0.04%)    - broad (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - win (2 ; 0.04%)    - sometimes (2 ; 0.04%)    - steps (2 ; 0.04%)    - debate (2 ; 0.04%)  
 - cities (1 ; 0.02%)    - tilt (1 ; 0.02%)    - bunched (1 ; 0.02%)    - gets (1 ; 0.02%)    - task (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - happened (1 ; 0.02%)    - known (1 ; 0.02%)    - spread (1 ; 0.02%)    - wyoming (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - gives (1 ; 0.02%)    - well-considered (1 ; 0.02%)    - bad (1 ; 0.02%)    - year (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - prerogative (1 ; 0.02%)    - luck (1 ; 0.02%)    - subject (1 ; 0.02%)    - midterm (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - california (1 ; 0.02%)    - punished (1 ; 0.02%)    - lost (1 ; 0.02%)    - extensive (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - surprisingly (1 ; 0.02%)    - big (1 ; 0.02%)    - feel (1 ; 0.02%)    - undo (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - strongly (1 ; 0.02%)    - senators (1 ; 0.02%)    - 2010 (1 ; 0.02%)    - save (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - congress (1 ; 0.02%)    - surprising (1 ; 0.02%)    - place (1 ; 0.02%)    - gubernatorial (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - notice (1 ; 0.02%)    - finishing (1 ; 0.02%)    - may (1 ; 0.02%)    - micro-targeting (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - strategy (1 ; 0.02%)    - smarter (1 ; 0.02%)    - discrete (1 ; 0.02%)    - popping (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - coalition (1 ; 0.02%)    - without (1 ; 0.02%)    - groups (1 ; 0.02%)    - organization (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - overhaul (1 ; 0.02%)    - twitter (1 ; 0.02%)    - proposal (1 ; 0.02%)    - avoid (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - cares (1 ; 0.02%)    - confident (1 ; 0.02%)    - complete (1 ; 0.02%)    - instance (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - citizen (1 ; 0.02%)    - platform (1 ; 0.02%)    - respectful (1 ; 0.02%)    - twice (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - finish (1 ; 0.02%)    - job (1 ; 0.02%)    - speaking (1 ; 0.02%)    - question? (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - bush (1 ; 0.02%)    - gracious (1 ; 0.02%)    - intention (1 ; 0.02%)    - group (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - speaks (1 ; 0.02%)    - spend (1 ; 0.02%)    - mandate (1 ; 0.02%)    - writing (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - rest (1 ; 0.02%)    - talk (1 ; 0.02%)    - vision (1 ; 0.02%)    - common (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - matter (1 ; 0.02%)    - battle (1 ; 0.02%)    - structural (1 ; 0.02%)    - large (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - days (1 ; 0.02%)    - problems (1 ; 0.02%)    - excuses (1 ; 0.02%)    - grassroots (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - nine (1 ; 0.02%)    - involves (1 ; 0.02%)    - reelection (1 ; 0.02%)    - numerous (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - redistricting (1 ; 0.02%)    - census (1 ; 0.02%)    - constitution (1 ; 0.02%)    - stop (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - gerrymandering (1 ; 0.02%)    - though (1 ; 0.02%)    - lock (1 ; 0.02%)    - ceding (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - territory (1 ; 0.02%)    - cadre (1 ; 0.02%)    - building (1 ; 0.02%)    - necessary (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - young (1 ; 0.02%)    - talent (1 ; 0.02%)    - core (1 ; 0.02%)    - talking (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - persuading (1 ; 0.02%)    - helpful (1 ; 0.02%)    - examine (1 ; 0.02%)    - ah (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - court (1 ; 0.02%)    - justice (1 ; 0.02%)    - supreme (1 ; 0.02%)    - confirm (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - juliet (1 ; 0.02%)    - eilperin (1 ; 0.02%)    - issued (1 ; 0.02%)    - field (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - cause (1 ; 0.02%)    - occasion (1 ; 0.02%)    - fallen (1 ; 0.02%)    - short (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - wealthier (1 ; 0.02%)    - structure (1 ; 0.02%)    - disrespected (1 ; 0.02%)    - felt (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - international (1 ; 0.02%)    - upholding (1 ; 0.02%)    - accused (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - hypocrisy (1 ; 0.02%)    - latin (1 ; 0.02%)    - secure (1 ; 0.02%)    - yes (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - wars (1 ; 0.02%)    - imposed (1 ; 0.02%)    - span (1 ; 0.02%)    - 30 (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - continents (1 ; 0.02%)    - entire (1 ; 0.02%)    - pushback (1 ; 0.02%)    - requires (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - healthier (1 ; 0.02%)    - violent (1 ; 0.02%)    - educated (1 ; 0.02%)    - tolerant (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - ago (1 ; 0.02%)    - institutions (1 ; 0.02%)    - multilateral (1 ; 0.02%)    - gone (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - indispensable (1 ; 0.02%)    - express (1 ; 0.02%)    - acknowledge (1 ; 0.02%)    - solve (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - face (1 ; 0.02%)    - incoming (1 ; 0.02%)    - reflection (1 ; 0.02%)    - mistakes (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - thought (1 ; 0.02%)    - oath (1 ; 0.02%)    - reflecting (1 ; 0.02%)    - traveling (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - coming (1 ; 0.02%)    - ii (1 ; 0.02%)    - sharp (1 ; 0.02%)    - welfare (1 ; 0.02%)    - edges (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - capitalism (1 ; 0.02%)    - elsewhere (1 ; 0.02%)    - lifting (1 ; 0.02%)    - judiciary (1 ; 0.02%)



- independent (1 ; 0.02%)    - shaped (1 ; 0.02%)    - cold (1 ; 0.02%)    - vast (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - notion (1 ; 0.02%)    - rule (1 ; 0.02%)    - existed (1 ; 0.02%)    - routinely (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - void (1 ; 0.02%)    - nobody (1 ; 0.02%)    - privilege (1 ; 0.02%)    - isn (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - gotten (1 ; 0.02%)    - weren (1 ; 0.02%)    - seize (1 ; 0.02%)    - collapses (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - handle (1 ; 0.02%)    - corrupt (1 ; 0.02%)    - side (1 ; 0.02%)    - 100 (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - everywhere (1 ; 0.02%)    - organizing (1 ; 0.02%)    - 200 (1 ; 0.02%)    - hurricane (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - worrying (1 ; 0.02%)    - haiti (1 ; 0.02%)    - carry (1 ; 0.02%)    - proudly (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - 45th (1 ; 0.02%)    - understands (1 ; 0.02%)    - significant (1 ; 0.02%)    - putting (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - sign (1 ; 0.02%)    - paper (1 ; 0.02%)    - outlines (1 ; 0.02%)    - conceptual (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - bank (1 ; 0.02%)    - swiss (1 ; 0.02%)    - water (1 ; 0.02%)    - drinking (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - died (1 ; 0.02%)    - cholera (1 ; 0.02%)    - penicillin (1 ; 0.02%)    - diseases (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - food (1 ; 0.02%)    - dying (1 ; 0.02%)    - famines (1 ; 0.02%)    - saw (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - millions (1 ; 0.02%)    - low (1 ; 0.02%)    - wages (1 ; 0.02%)    - share (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - improving (1 ; 0.02%)    - oppress (1 ; 0.02%)    - determined (1 ; 0.02%)    - siphon (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - right? (1 ; 0.02%)    - funds (1 ; 0.02%)    - reach (1 ; 0.02%)    - limits (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - corners (1 ; 0.02%)    - delivers (1 ; 0.02%)    - respecting (1 ; 0.02%)    - dignity (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - citizens (1 ; 0.02%)    - touchstone (1 ; 0.02%)    - find (1 ; 0.02%)    - alleviate (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - tirelessly (1 ; 0.02%)    - suffering (1 ; 0.02%)    - john (1 ; 0.02%)    - others (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - kerry (1 ; 0.02%)    - operations (1 ; 0.02%)    - ongoing (1 ; 0.02%)    - basis (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - changed (1 ; 0.02%)    - intervention (1 ; 0.02%)    - mistake (1 ; 0.02%)    - counter-isil (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - authority (1 ; 0.02%)    - takes (1 ; 0.02%)    - thank (1 ; 0.02%)    - decided (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - destroying (1 ; 0.02%)    - turning (1 ; 0.02%)    - population (1 ; 0.02%)    - seeing (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - man (1 ; 0.02%)    - emboldened (1 ; 0.02%)    - four (1 ; 0.02%)    - case (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - tango (1 ; 0.02%)    - interest (1 ; 0.02%)    - supporting (1 ; 0.02%)    - interests? (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - obligations? (1 ; 0.02%)    - potential (1 ; 0.02%)    - regardless (1 ; 0.02%)    - civilian (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - casualties (1 ; 0.02%)    - wounded (1 ; 0.02%)    - children (1 ; 0.02%)    - pacification (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - essentially (1 ; 0.02%)    - prospects (1 ; 0.02%)    - short-term (1 ; 0.02%)    - iran (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - brutal (1 ; 0.02%)    - air (1 ; 0.02%)    - schools (1 ; 0.02%)    - hospitals (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - be? (1 ; 0.02%)    - five (1 ; 0.02%)    - constraints (1 ; 0.02%)    - involvement? (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - moral (1 ; 0.02%)    - wrestled (1 ; 0.02%)    - periods (1 ; 0.02%)    - trained (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - destroyed (1 ; 0.02%)    - opposition (1 ; 0.02%)    - hold (1 ; 0.02%)    - ground (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - scattered (1 ; 0.02%)    - cling (1 ; 0.02%)    - highly (1 ; 0.02%)    - shouldn (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - enough (1 ; 0.02%)    - combines (1 ; 0.02%)    - tough (1 ; 0.02%)    - progressive (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - door (1 ; 0.02%)    - normally (1 ; 0.02%)    - memoli (1 ; 0.02%)    - mike (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - reverse (1 ; 0.02%)    - smarts (1 ; 0.02%)    - tenacity (1 ; 0.02%)    - unpopular (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - base (1 ; 0.02%)    - midterms (1 ; 0.02%)    - gain (1 ; 0.02%)    - defeat (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - send (1 ; 0.02%)    - care (1 ; 0.02%)    - games (1 ; 0.02%)    - depression (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - cooperate (1 ; 0.02%)    - mitch (1 ; 0.02%)    - remarkable (1 ; 0.02%)    - mcconnell (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - sole (1 ; 0.02%)    - objective (1 ; 0.02%)    - enemies (1 ; 0.02%)    - understand (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - isil (1 ; 0.02%)    - situation (1 ; 0.02%)    - sustained (1 ; 0.02%)    - doubt (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - taliban (1 ; 0.02%)    - extremist (1 ; 0.02%)    - stage (1 ; 0.02%)    - willing (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - kept (1 ; 0.02%)    - peacefully (1 ; 0.02%)    - intact (1 ; 0.02%)    - russians (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - iranians (1 ; 0.02%)    - swept (1 ; 0.02%)    - bin (1 ; 0.02%)    - alienate (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - radicalize (1 ; 0.02%)    - potentially (1 ; 0.02%)    - law-abiding (1 ; 0.02%)    - living (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - further (1 ; 0.02%)    - statements (1 ; 0.02%)    - reduce (1 ; 0.02%)    - laden (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - risk (1 ; 0.02%)    - external (1 ; 0.02%)    - operators (1 ; 0.02%)    - optimistic (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - domestic (1 ; 0.02%)    - affirmed (1 ; 0.02%)    - threats (1 ; 0.02%)    - conduct (1 ; 0.02%)    - cyber-enabled (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - current (1 ; 0.02%)    - importance (1 ; 0.02%)    - moratorium (1 ; 0.02%)    - customs (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - duties (1 ; 0.02%)    - secrets (1 ; 0.02%)    - intent (1 ; 0.02%)    - engineering (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - technology (1 ; 0.02%)    - math (1 ; 0.02%)    - entrepreneurs (1 ; 0.02%)    - finance (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - science (1 ; 0.02%)    - careers (1 ; 0.02%)    - commercial (1 ; 0.02%)    - providing (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - area (1 ; 0.02%)    - focus (1 ; 0.02%)    - fair (1 ; 0.02%)    - crosses (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - personal (1 ; 0.02%)    - down (1 ; 0.02%)    - cracking (1 ; 0.02%)    - brought (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - under (1 ; 0.02%)    - wto (1 ; 0.02%)    - forefront (1 ; 0.02%)    - measures (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - distorts (1 ; 0.02%)    - aluminum (1 ; 0.02%)    - hurts (1 ; 0.02%)    - argued (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - protectionist (1 ; 0.02%)    - engaging (1 ; 0.02%)    - enforcement (1 ; 0.02%)

- regard (1 ; 0.02%) - systematic (1 ; 0.02%) - endorsed (1 ; 0.02%) - protect (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - privacy (1 ; 0.02%) - addressing (1 ; 0.02%) - hangzhou (1 ; 0.02%) - needs (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - policies (1 ; 0.02%) - fixed (1 ; 0.02%) - taking (1 ; 0.02%) - g20 (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - integrate (1 ; 0.02%) - chain (1 ; 0.02%) - subsidies (1 ; 0.02%) - fuel (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - toward (1 ; 0.02%) - goal (1 ; 0.02%) - doubling (1 ; 0.02%) - fossil (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - phase (1 ; 0.02%) - phrasing (1 ; 0.02%) - emissions (1 ; 0.02%) - dangerous (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - hfcs (1 ; 0.02%) - lima (1 ; 0.02%) - renewable (1 ; 0.02%) - energy (1 ; 0.02%) - ap (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - superville (1 ; 0.02%) - conferences (1 ; 0.02%) - intends (1 ; 0.02%) - govern (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - darlene (1 ; 0.02%) - enduring (1 ; 0.02%) - likely (1 ; 0.02%) - wrap (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - never (1 ; 0.02%) - seek (1 ; 0.02%) - sustaining (1 ; 0.02%) - aviation (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - limit (1 ; 0.02%) - fellow (1 ; 0.02%) - yesterday (1 ; 0.02%) - trans-pacific (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - partnership (1 ; 0.02%) - reaffirm (1 ; 0.02%) - families (1 ; 0.02%) - output (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - study (1 ; 0.02%) - according (1 ; 0.02%) - participated (1 ; 0.02%) - add (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - additional (1 ; 0.02%) - preferably (1 ; 0.02%) - ratify (1 ; 0.02%) - interests (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - reflects (1 ; 0.02%) - finally (1 ; 0.02%) - bringing (1 ; 0.02%) - agreeing (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - shape (1 ; 0.02%) - position (1 ; 0.02%) - ambitious (1 ; 0.02%) - exclude (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - plus (1 ; 0.02%) - undermine (1 ; 0.02%) - steel (1 ; 0.02%) - certain (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - reverberate (1 ; 0.02%) - wider (1 ; 0.02%) - firmly (1 ; 0.02%) - greatest (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - benefits (1 ; 0.02%) - gaps (1 ; 0.02%) - hit (1 ; 0.02%) - corporations (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - wealthy (1 ; 0.02%) - seem (1 ; 0.02%) - playing (1 ; 0.02%) - different (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - shared (1 ; 0.02%) - negative (1 ; 0.02%) - hurt (1 ; 0.02%) - chains (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - environmental (1 ; 0.02%) - addresses (1 ; 0.02%) - ways (1 ; 0.02%) - barriers (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - erect (1 ; 0.02%) - inequalities (1 ; 0.02%) - impacts (1 ; 0.02%) - addressed (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - pull (1 ; 0.02%) - try (1 ; 0.02%) - leverage (1 ; 0.02%) - capital (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - standing (1 ; 0.02%) - americas (1 ; 0.02%) - promoting (1 ; 0.02%) - success (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - thanks (1 ; 0.02%) - strongest (1 ; 0.02%) - hospitality (1 ; 0.02%) - thanking (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - evening (1 ; 0.02%) - kuczynski (1 ; 0.02%) - wonderful (1 ; 0.02%) - hosting (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - peruvian (1 ; 0.02%) - friends (1 ; 0.02%) - improve (1 ; 0.02%) - globalization (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - lives (1 ; 0.02%) - billions (1 ; 0.02%) - gains (1 ; 0.02%) - backdrop (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - occurred (1 ; 0.02%) - behalf (1 ; 0.02%) - muchas (1 ; 0.02%) - gracias (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - past (1 ; 0.02%) - harmed (1 ; 0.02%) - central (1 ; 0.02%) - ventures (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - credit (1 ; 0.02%) - small (1 ; 0.02%) - running (1 ; 0.02%) - afford (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - increasing (1 ; 0.02%) - simplifying (1 ; 0.02%) - drive (1 ; 0.02%) - pay (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - created (1 ; 0.02%) - 15 (1 ; 0.02%) - continuing (1 ; 0.02%) - fancy (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - lawyers (1 ; 0.02%) - bribery (1 ; 0.02%) - targeting (1 ; 0.02%) - enriches (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - expense (1 ; 0.02%) - services (1 ; 0.02%) - corruption (1 ; 0.02%) - effort (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - offices (1 ; 0.02%) - accountants (1 ; 0.02%) - agreed (1 ; 0.02%) - increase (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - collective (1 ; 0.02%) - employees (1 ; 0.02%) - hire (1 ; 0.02%) - fastest-growing (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - 10 (1 ; 0.02%) - words (1 ; 0.02%) - tremendous (1 ; 0.02%) - sell (1 ; 0.02%) - top (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - class (1 ; 0.02%) - remember (1 ; 0.02%) - moves (1 ; 0.02%) - three (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - billion (1 ; 0.02%) - middle (1 ; 0.02%) - rebalance (1 ; 0.02%) - boosted (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - 11 (1 ; 0.02%) - levels (1 ; 0.02%) - moreover (1 ; 0.02%) - tend (1 ; 0.02%) - faster (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - 40 (1 ; 0.02%) - increased (1 ; 0.02%) - 95 (1 ; 0.02%) - customers (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - priority (1 ; 0.02%) - overseas (1 ; 0.02%) - wait-and-see (1 ; 0.02%) - sworn (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - personnel (1 ; 0.02%) - patriot (1 ; 0.02%) - matters (1 ; 0.02%) - spent (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - looking (1 ; 0.02%) - terrific (1 ; 0.02%) - rogers (1 ; 0.02%) - indicator (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - wanted (1 ; 0.02%) - delivered (1 ; 0.02%) - nsa (1 ; 0.02%) - admiral (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - efforts (1 ; 0.02%) - pace (1 ; 0.02%) - non-state (1 ; 0.02%) - attack (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - actors (1 ; 0.02%) - becoming (1 ; 0.02%) - rapid (1 ; 0.02%) - vulnerable (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - systems (1 ; 0.02%) - changing (1 ; 0.02%) - rapidly (1 ; 0.02%) - increasingly (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - data (1 ; 0.02%) - financial (1 ; 0.02%) - habits (1 ; 0.02%) - familiar (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - fun (1 ; 0.02%) - guidelines (1 ; 0.02%) - test (1 ; 0.02%) - enjoy (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - appreciate (1 ; 0.02%) - setting (1 ; 0.02%) - maybe (1 ; 0.02%) - staff (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - early (1 ; 0.02%) - person (1 ; 0.02%) - gift (1 ; 0.02%) - provided (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - knock (1 ; 0.02%) - wood (1 ; 0.02%) - ambiguity (1 ; 0.02%) - eliminate (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - determinations (1 ; 0.02%) - wants (1 ; 0.02%) - promise (1 ; 0.02%) - guideposts (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - met (1 ; 0.02%) - left (1 ; 0.02%) - scandals (1 ; 0.02%) - plagued (1 ; 0.02%)

- administrations (1 ; 0.02%) - inevitable (1 ; 0.02%) - modernize (1 ; 0.02%) - sick (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - bankrupt (1 ; 0.02%) - agrees (1 ; 0.02%) - individual (1 ; 0.02%) - components (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - create (1 ; 0.02%) - safety (1 ; 0.02%) - minimum (1 ; 0.02%) - raising (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - wage (1 ; 0.02%) - common-sense (1 ; 0.02%) - gun (1 ; 0.02%) - obamacare (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - gallup (1 ; 0.02%) - translated (1 ; 0.02%) - translate (1 ; 0.02%) - either (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - federal (1 ; 0.02%) - nature (1 ; 0.02%) - ratings (1 ; 0.02%) - approval (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - showed (1 ; 0.02%) - poll (1 ; 0.02%) - favorable (1 ; 0.02%) - view (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - noted (1 ; 0.02%) - garner (1 ; 0.02%) - wrestling (1 ; 0.02%) - edson (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - currently (1 ; 0.02%) - worried (1 ; 0.02%) - cute (1 ; 0.02%) - nominee (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - mission (1 ; 0.02%) - options (1 ; 0.02%) - tools (1 ; 0.02%) - update (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - use (1 ; 0.02%) - exploring (1 ; 0.02%) - range (1 ; 0.02%) - popular (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - vote (1 ; 0.02%) - democrat (1 ; 0.02%) - alignments (1 ; 0.02%) - reshaped (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - duplicated (1 ; 0.02%) - received (1 ; 0.02%) - old (1 ; 0.02%) - grabs (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - race (1 ; 0.02%) - expect (1 ; 0.02%) - future (1 ; 0.02%) - little (1 ; 0.02%) - line (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - away (1 ; 0.02%) - bloodshed (1 ; 0.02%) - indicating (1 ; 0.02%) - sown (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - bombing (1 ; 0.02%) - attacks (1 ; 0.02%) - conversations (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - conversation (1 ; 0.02%) - anticipate (1 ; 0.02%) - realities (1 ; 0.02%) - putin (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - briefly (1 ; 0.02%) - sessions (1 ; 0.02%) - russian (1 ; 0.02%) - populations (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - usual (1 ; 0.02%) - term (1 ; 0.02%) - candid (1 ; 0.02%) - courteous (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - differences (1 ; 0.02%) - germany (1 ; 0.02%) - frances (1 ; 0.02%) - minsk (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - ceasefire (1 ; 0.02%) - urged (1 ; 0.02%) - instruct (1 ; 0.02%) - negotiators (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - confronting (1 ; 0.02%) - predecessors (1 ; 0.02%) - oval (1 ; 0.02%) - wait (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - interacting (1 ; 0.02%) - shaping (1 ; 0.02%) - modifying (1 ; 0.02%) - legislation (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - governing (1 ; 0.02%) - point (1 ; 0.02%) - rhetoric (1 ; 0.02%) - assume (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - language (1 ; 0.02%) - campaigning (1 ; 0.02%) - largest (1 ; 0.02%) - powerful (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - presidential (1 ; 0.02%) - pretty (1 ; 0.02%) - historian (1 ; 0.02%) - looked (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - 43 (1 ; 0.02%) - relations (1 ; 0.02%) - ensuring (1 ; 0.02%) - pursue (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - reality (1 ; 0.02%) - adjust (1 ; 0.02%) - approaches (1 ; 0.02%) - come (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - behind (1 ; 0.02%) - basically (1 ; 0.02%) - influence (1 ; 0.02%) - accountant (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - money (1 ; 0.02%) - treasury (1 ; 0.02%) - raise (1 ; 0.02%) - liquidate (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - significantly (1 ; 0.02%) - specifically (1 ; 0.02%) - smaller (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - presidents (1 ; 0.02%) - president-elects (1 ; 0.02%) - bills (1 ; 0.02%) - yields (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - established (1 ; 0.02%) - spirit (1 ; 0.02%) - whole (1 ; 0.02%) - playbooks (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - far (1 ; 0.02%) - inadvertently (1 ; 0.02%) - whether (1 ; 0.02%) - (laughter) (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - massive (1 ; 0.02%) - simplified (1 ; 0.02%) - life (1 ; 0.02%) - worry (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - hypotheticals (1 ; 0.02%) - rhetorical (1 ; 0.02%) - sources (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - propaganda (1 ; 0.02%) - media (1 ; 0.02%) - difficult (1 ; 0.02%) - figure (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - norm (1 ; 0.02%) - hack (1 ; 0.02%) - discussion (1 ; 0.02%) - brief (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - cyberattacks (1 ; 0.02%) - surrounding (1 ; 0.02%) - dnc (1 ; 0.02%) - accurate (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - civic (1 ; 0.02%) - happening (1 ; 0.02%) - false (1 ; 0.02%) - outside (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - gardiner (1 ; 0.02%) - harris (1 ; 0.02%) - fake (1 ; 0.02%) - attempts (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - culture (1 ; 0.02%) - engaged (1 ; 0.02%) - citizenry (1 ; 0.02%) - various (1 ; 0.02%)  
 - system (1 ; 0.02%) -

### Occurrence des lettres dans le texte.

Les résultats sont présentés sous la forme (occurrence ; pourcentage sur le nombre de lettres sans tenir compte des espaces) :

"\$" (2 ; 0.01%) - "(" (4 ; 0.02%) - ")" (4 ; 0.02%) - "-" (109 ; 0.44%) - "0" (15 ; 0.06%) -  
 "1" (9 ; 0.04%) - "2" (8 ; 0.03%) - "3" (2 ; 0.01%) - "4" (3 ; 0.01%) - "5" (5 ; 0.02%) - "6" (3 ; 0.01%)  
 - "8" (2 ; 0.01%) - "9" (1 ; 0%) - "?" (6 ; 0.02%) - "a" (2041 ; 8.15%) - "b" (372 ; 1.48%) -  
 "c" (729 ; 2.91%) - "d" (898 ; 3.58%) - "e" (2989 ; 11.93%) - "f" (443 ; 1.77%) - "g" (516 ; 2.06%) -  
 "h" (1172 ; 4.68%) - "i" (1866 ; 7.45%) - "j" (50 ; 0.2%) - "k" (198 ; 0.79%) - "l" (900 ; 3.59%) -  
 "m" (585 ; 2.34%) - "n" (1755 ; 7.01%) - "o" (1958 ; 7.82%) - "p" (545 ; 2.18%) - "q" (19 ; 0.08%) -  
 "r" (1519 ; 6.06%) - "s" (1638 ; 6.54%) - "t" (2536 ; 10.12%) - "u" (718 ; 2.87%) - "v" (301 ; 1.2%) -  
 "w" (510 ; 2.04%) - "x" (40 ; 0.16%) - "y" (406 ; 1.62%) - "z" (16 ; 0.06%) - "€" (53 ; 0.21%) -  
 "€" (53 ; 0.21%) - "€" (53 ; 0.21%) -

